



\$~84

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 104/2026**

SOURAV SEKHAR BEHERA

.....Petitioner

Through: Petitioner in-person.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Kshitiz Garg, Advocate for Mr.
Amol Sinha, ASC for the State.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER

% **13.01.2026**

CRL.M.A. 915/2026 (exemption)

Exemption granted, subject to just exceptions.

Let requisite compliances be made within 01 week.

The application stands disposed-of.

W.P.(CRL) 104/2026

By way of the present petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023, the petitioner prays for the following reliefs:

“I. issue a writ in the nature of mandamus or any other writ, order or direction as may be deemed appropriate by this Hon'ble Court, directing the respondents herein to provide compensations at-least till 15.06.2022 required as part of 3rd remedy which is an issue related to F.I.R. No. 'ED-LN-000600' annexed in ANNEXURE P5 without any constitutional violations.

II. Not to direct the current matter to any lower court against my 'Right to Freedom of speech and expression' valid as per article 19(1)(a) which is only meaningful here in the current high court and in the Supreme Court of India for the current matter/dispute. Sections 513 to 519 of B.N.S.S. act (Any Court can



decide the cognizance of an offence) and Section 239 of B.N.S.S. act (Any Court can decide the Charges involved) relevant here.

III. issue a writ in the nature of mandamus or any other writ, order or direction as may be deemed appropriate by this Hon'ble Court, directing all the respondents herein to align themselves with the already passed urgency by the Supreme Court for the current matter as per the facts available in 'URGENT APPLICATION' (Page no. 1-3) of the current petition without any constitutional violations. Only daily or weekly adjournments to be granted here for the current matter by the current Court which are valid under sections 346 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita act, 2023.

IV. Intimate respondent no. 3 that Writ Petition(C) of Supreme Court(Currently in pending status) having Diary no. 28627 of 2025 is carrying some relevant evidences for the current matter (Several admissions by government of odisha and government/union of India) is of urgent in nature."

2. Prior to filing of the present proceedings, *vidé* W.P. (Crl) No. 504/2025, the petitioner had approached the Supreme Court which petition was dismissed by the Supreme Court *vidé* order dated 12.12.2025 with the following observations:

"We are not inclined to entertain the present petition filed under Article 32 of the Constitution of India.

The Writ Petition is dismissed accordingly.

However, liberty is granted to the petitioner-in-person to approach the jurisdictional High Court to avail his remedy available under law."

3. Subsequently, the petitioner approached this court *vidé* W.P.(CRL) No.19/2026, seeking the following reliefs:

"I. issue a writ in the nature of mandamus or any other writ, order or direction as may be deemed appropriate by this Hon'ble Court, directing the respondents herein to provide all his granted remedy available under law as mentioned in the facts of list no. 1(f), which need to be understood along with the judicial notice of laws/laws in forces/facts available in list no. 1(b), as per Supreme Court grant order of date 12.12.2025 without any constitutional violations.

II. Kindly grant the certificate of appeal in the Supreme Court for the current matter to the petitioner without



violating/restraining his rights of article 19(1)(a),21,14,13(3)(a),13(3)(b).

III. issue a writ in the nature of mandamus or any other writ, order or direction as may be deemed appropriate by this Hon'ble Court, directing all the respondents herein to align themselves with the already passed urgency by the Supreme Court for the current matter as per the facts available in list no. 1(c) of the current petition without any constitutional violations.

IV. issue a writ in the nature of mandamus or any other writ, order or direction as may be deemed appropriate by this Hon'ble Court, directing the respondents herein to kindly maintain section 352 of Bharatiya Nagarika Suraksha Sanhita 2023 act here as mentioned in sub-clause/list 1(d) of the current petition. Kindly do not violate/restrain here the rights of the petitioner which includes article 19(1)(a),21,14,13(3)(a),13(3)(b).

V. issue a writ in the nature of mandamus or any other writ, order or direction as may be deemed appropriate by this Hon'ble Court, directing the Delhi Police, Laxmi Nagar, East District to kindly register my valid F.I.R. denied by F.I.R. in-charge on 17.12.2025, 5:30 PM as per the facts available in list no. 1(e) related to some breaching of contract (cognizable offence) by my last employer, Otherwise it is violation of article 13(3)(a), 13(3)(b), 14, 19(1)(a), 21.

VI. Copy all of the orders of the current petition and last order of the Supreme Court writ petition (Crl.) No. 000504 of 2025 to all of the respondents available in the cause title of the current petition.

VII. Pass any other or further orders, as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the present case."

4. The said writ petition was disposed-of by a Co-ordinate Bench of this court *vidé* order dated 05.01.2026 with the following directions:

"2. Although the reliefs, as extracted above, are incomprehensible, the petitioner states that he has two distinct grievances:

A. He seeks registration of an FIR against his former employer – Autoone Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd., Pune - in respect of certain allegations with regard to forgery, cheating, breach of trust, and reputational harm.

B. The petitioner claims to have purchased court fees of Rs.1,000/ on 30.08.2022, which remains unused. He has made an



application dated 09.12.2022 to the Office of SDM, East, Shastri Nagar, for refund of the said amount. He claims that no response has been received to his application.

3. As far as the first aspect is concerned, the petitioner's remedies lie before the Magistrate's Court under Section 175(3) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023. He is at liberty to seek appropriate orders from the jurisdictional Magistrate. This Court has not been called upon, at this stage, to make any comment in this regard, including with respect to the issue of jurisdiction, whether before the Magistrate in Pune or in Delhi.

4. As far as the refund of the court fees is concerned, the Office of the SDM, Shastri Nagar, is directed to respond to the petitioner's application dated 09.12.2022 within a period of eight weeks from today. If the refund is not permissible for any reason, the reasons shall be communicated to the petitioner in writing so that the petitioner may take his remedies."

5. Considering the evident incomprehensibility of the prayers contained in the present petition, and the fact that some of those prayers have already been dispose-of by the Co-ordinate Bench *vidé* order dated 05.01.2026 referred to above, this court has attempted to elicit from the petitioner his actual grievance, if any, that he wishes to canvass by way of the present petition.
6. In response, the petitioner Mr. Sourav Sekhar Behera, who appears in-person, has drawn attention to e-FIR No. ED-LN-000600/2022 dated 12.06.2022 registered by him under section 379 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at P.S.: e-Police Station (Laxmi Nagar), Delhi. In particular, Mr. Behera has cited Entries Nos. 9 and 10 of that FIR, which read as follow:

"9. Particulars of properties stolen/involved (attach separate sheet if necessary): Attachment Not Applicable



Sl. Details of property	Description of property	Status
1 Others	My life and reputation has been stolen by Delhi Ministry in their Private business (Ocularity) and they are earning over it in INR	Stolen

10. Details of Incident :

Dear Sir, Kindly help as it is cheating to my life. I came to know about this cheating last five months before only but business getting utilized over my life from last ten years without consent without right(Currently i do not want this). After consuming my reputation in the business very properly, they are earning over it in INR. Kindly grant me the Ocularity report of my life utilization for Delhi and compensation. It is a legal case. Thanks, Sourav”

7. Other than asking the court to consider the above contents of the FIR, Mr. Behera is unable to explain or articulate any grievance that would be amenable to judicial consideration and decision.
8. Be that as it may, issue notice.
9. Mr. Kshitiz Garg, learned counsel appears for Mr. Amol Sinha, learned ASC for the State on advance copy; and accepts notice.
10. In order to be doubly sure, the court has also sought assistance of Mr. Garg in an effort to decipher if the petitioner has any valid, subsisting, legal grievance.
11. Mr. Garg submits, that apart from what the petitioner has stated in the subject FIR and in present writ petition, the Investigating Officer has no further inputs or insights into what the petitioner is seeking by way of the present proceedings.
12. Mr. Garg submits, that based on what has been stated in the subject FIR, the State finds nothing actionable on its part.



13. Other things apart, in any case, this court is of the view that the directions passed by the Co-ordinate Bench in order dated 05.01.2026 *adequately* address any grievance that the petitioner *may have had*.
14. Accordingly, the present petition is disposed-of, as being devoid of any merit.
15. The petitioner is cautioned against filing any misconceived, incoherent and incomprehensible petitions in the future.
16. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed-of.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

JANUARY 13, 2026

V.Rawat