



\$~88

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 430/2026 and CM APPL. 2029/2026, CM APPL. 17001/2026

SARE GURUGRAM PRIVATE LIMITEDPetitioner

Through: Mr. Rajiv Nayar, Senior Advocate
Mr. Ajay Bhargava Advocate Mr.
Mohit Kishore Ratnil Chauhan
Advocate Ms. Phalguni Nigam
Advocate Mr.Vedant Sharma, Advs.

versus

DIRECTORATE OF ENFORCEMENT & ANR.Respondent

Through: Mr Zoheb Hossain Spl Counsel for
DoE Mr Vivek Gurnani Panel
Counsel for DoE Mr Pranjal Tripathi
adv Mr Kanishk Maurya Adv Mr
Satyam Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

% **19.03.2026**

1. In deference to the observations made by the Court on 11.03.2026, Mr. Rajiv Nayar, learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioner, seeks liberty to withdraw the instant writ petition with liberty to take any other recourse in accordance with law.
2. With the said liberty, petition stands dismissed as withdrawn.
3. All rights and contentions are left open.
4. If the petitioner takes recourse to the appeal as provided under the provisions of the Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002, let the appeal



be decided with due expedition.

5. It be clarified that the period spent in prosecuting the instant writ petition be excluded for the purpose of counting the limitation for any of the proceedings which the petitioner may like to undertake.

MARCH 19, 2026/P

PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J