



\$~26

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 270/2026 & CRL.M.A. 1009/2026**

VINOD BANSAL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Raj Kumar, Adv. alongwith the
petitioner

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANRRespondents

Through: Ms. Meenakshi Dahiya, APP for the
State with Mr. Bhuman Bansal,
Adv.
Mr. Raj Kumar, Adv. for R-2
SI- Sagar Yadav, PS: Vivek Vihar

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

%

10.02.2026

1. By virtue of the present petition under *Section 482* of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (**Cr.P.C**) [now *Section 528* of the *Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS)*], the petitioner seeks quashing of the FIR No.1010/2015 dated 15.10.2015 registered at PS: Vivek Vihar, Delhi under *Sections 420/34* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (**IPC**) and all proceedings emanating therefrom since the amount which was *allegedly* duped from respondent no.2 has already been reverted back to him by the petitioner herein.
2. Issue notice.
3. Learned APP for the State accepts notice and submits that he has no



objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR No.1010/2015 dated 15.10.2015.

4. Respondent no.2, also present in Court, accepts notice and affirms the fact that the amount of Rs.1,05,00,000/- (*Rupees One Crore Five Lakhs Only*) has been received by him from the petitioner herein and the dispute between the parties have been settled amicably. Lastly, respondent no.2 states that he has no objection to the quashing of the FIR No.1010/2015 dated 15.10.2015.

5. Additionally, petitioner and respondent no.2, present in Court, have been identified by the IO and their credentials, as on record, have been duly verified.

6. In view of the fact that the dispute(s) *inter se* the petitioner and respondent no.2 have been settled amicably and the full and final settlement has already been made. Both the petitioner and the respondent no.2 submit/ undertake that they shall remain bound by terms of their respective affidavit(s). As such, following the law laid down by the ***Hon'ble Supreme Court in Jitendra Raghuvanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raguvanshi & Anr. (2013) 4 SCC 58, Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2012) 10 SCC 303 and Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2014) 6 SCC 466***, this Court is of the opinion that continuation of the aforesaid FIR No.1010/2015 dated 15.10.2015, will be an exercise in futility.

7. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.1010/2015 dated 15.10.2015 registered at PS.: Vivek Vihar, Delhi under *Sections 420/34* of the IPC and all other proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.



8. Accordingly, the petition, alongwith pending application, is disposed of.

9. At this stage, both petitioner and respondent no.2 willingly, for the sake of humanity, for doing community service towards one and all, submit that they shall jointly install three water coolers (approx. 80 litres of storage each) and two water dispensers within a period of *four weeks* at PS: Vivek Vihar, Delhi.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

FEBRUARY 10, 2026/Ab