



\$~27 and 28

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ MISC. APPEAL(PMLA) 2/2026
PUNIT KUMARPetitioner

Through: Mr. Debopriya Moulík,
Advocate.

versus

DIRECTORATE OF ENFORCEMENT DEPUTY DIRECTOR
.....Respondent
Through: Mr. Vivek Gurnani, Panel
Counsel for ED.
Mr. Nitish Kumar, Advocate.

28.
+ MISC. APPEAL(PMLA) 3/2026
DEEPTIPetitioner
Through: Mr. Debopriya Moulík,
Advocate.

versus

DIRECTORATE OF ENFORCEMENTRespondent
Through: Mr. Vivek Gurnani, Panel
Counsel for ED.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVINDER DUDEJA

% **ORDER**
12.01.2026

CM APPL. 1819/2026 (exemption) in MISC. APPEAL(PMLA)
2/2026

CM APPL. 1821/2026 (exemption) in MISC. APPEAL(PMLA)
3/2026

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

MISC. APPEAL(PMLA) 2/2026 & CM APPL. 1818/2026 (stay)
MISC. APPEAL(PMLA) 3/2026 & CM APPL. 1820/2026 (stay)



2. These appeals have been filed under Section 42 of the Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002 (hereinafter referred to as, 'PMLA'), challenging the Order dated 07.01.2026 passed by the learned Appellate Tribunal, PMLA at Delhi (hereinafter referred to as, the 'Tribunal'), in FPA-PMLA-516/DLI/2026, titled ***Punit Kumar v. The Deputy Director, Directorate of Enforcement, Delhi***, and FPA-PMLA-517/DLI/2026, titled ***Deepti v. The Deputy Director, Directorate of Enforcement, Delhi***, whereby the learned Tribunal has been pleased to issue notice in the appeals as also in all the applications filed therein, including the applications praying for order of *ad-interim* stay on the Eviction Notices, returnable on 18.03.2026.

3. The limited grievance of the appellants is that the learned Tribunal did not consider their applications for *ad-interim* protection, thereby making the said applications infructuous, in view of the long date that has been given.

4. At the outset, we note that there was a delay of 150 days in filing of the appeals before the learned Tribunal. The question whether the separate appeals, only against the eviction orders issued by the respondent, is maintainable, is also to be considered by the learned Tribunal.

5. Therefore, without commenting on the aforesaid and only to have a view of learned Tribunal on the above, we direct, with the consent of learned counsel for the respondent, who appears on advance notice of these appeals, that the next date of hearing in the above appeals, as also the applications, before the learned Tribunal, be preponed to 21st January, 2026. The learned Tribunal shall consider



the *interim* prayer made by the appellants on the said date.

6. In the meantime, the parties shall maintain *status quo* with respect to the properties in question.

7. However, we make it clear that this Order shall not, in any manner, influence the learned Tribunal while considering the applications filed by the appellants on merits. We have also not commented on the maintainability of the present appeals before us.

8. The appeals along with pending applications, are accordingly, disposed of in the above terms.

NAVIN CHAWLA, J

RAVINDER DUDEJA, J

JANUARY 12, 2026/vd/sk/Yg