



\$~87

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 363/2026 & CM APPL. 1774/2026**

INSP EXE MANOJ KUMAR YADAV & ORSPetitioners

Through: Mr. Abhay Kumar Bhargava, Mr. Satyaarth Sinha, Ms. Shradha Mewati and Mr. Ajinkya Dhalwade, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.Respondents

Through: Ms. Avshreya Pratap Singh Rudy, CGSC, Ms. Usha Jamnal, GP, Ms. Udha Jamnal, Ms. Nyasa Sharma, Mr. Ankit Khatri, Advs along with Asst. H.K. Sourastriya and Insp. Sanjay Kumar.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. KAMESWAR RAO

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA

ORDER

% **12.01.2026**

1. This petition has been filed with the following prayers:-

“i. Issue a writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order, or direction, directing the DG CISF to re-fix the Petitioners (76) pay with effect from 16.07.2007, in view of the judgment of this Hon'ble Court in Harjinder Singh & Ors. v. Union of India and to extend this judgement on the Petitioners (76) in time bound manner.

ii. Issue a writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order, or direction directing the DG CISF to pay the Petitioner (76) arrears of Refixed pay w.e.f. 16.07.2007, along with interest at 9% per annum in view of judgment of Delhi High Court H arjinder Singh & Ors. v. Union of India.



iii. Pass any other order or direction as may be deemed just, fit, and proper in the interest of justice.”

2. In effect, the petitioners are praying for the benefit as has been granted by this Court in the case of ***Harjinder Singh & Ors. v. Union of India, W.P.(C) 9256/2020*** decided on 06.01.2021 wherein, this Court by referring to the judgment of this Court in the case of ***Brahma Prakash & Ors. v. Union of India, W.P. (C) 3636/2016***, has granted pay fixation upon promotion to the rank of Sub-inspector through LDCE.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents who without disputing that the issue is covered by the judgment in the case of ***Harjinder Singh & Ors. (Supra)*** states that the respondents shall consider the writ petition as a representation on behalf of the petitioners and decide the same within 12 weeks. If that be so, we direct, this writ petition shall be considered as representation, and the decision as taken shall be communicated to the petitioners within 12 weeks as an outer limit.

4. Suffice to state, the respondents had called for a revised option from one of the petitioner no.58 i.e., Nripati Biswas.

5. The petition along with pending application are accordingly disposed of.

V. KAMESWAR RAO, J

MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA, J

JANUARY 12, 2026/rk