



\$~14

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 371/2026, CM APPL. 1791-1792/2026

SANGEETHA T S

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. D. Rajeshwar Rao, Mr. Banka
Bihari Panda, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR. & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Alok Dev, SPC for UOI.
Mr. Manjit Pathak, Advocate for R-2.
Ms. Apoorva Pal, Advocate for R-3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA

ORDER

% **13.04.2026**

1. The amended memo of parties filed by the Petitioner, impleading Respondent No. 3, has been returned under objection. However, counsel for the Petitioner submits that pursuant to such impleadment, the petition would require amendment, as the Petitioner proposes to incorporate specific pleadings challenging the appointment of Respondent No. 3.
2. In these circumstances, he prays that leave be granted to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file a fresh petition, instead of pursuing amendment, so as to expedite the proceedings.
3. Leave and liberty, as prayed for, are granted. Considering that the petition is at a nascent stage, the request of the Petitioner is accepted. The Petitioner is permitted to file a fresh petition on the same cause of action, incorporating appropriate averments, including those concerning the



appointment of Respondent No. 3, if so advised.

4. With the above directions, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn along with pending application(s), if any.

SANJEEV NARULA, J

APRIL 13, 2026/ab