



\$~97

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 356/2026**

VIKAS GOSWAMI & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Ms. Mithu Jain, Ms. Diksha Arora
and Mr. Shashwat Jaiswal, Adv.

versus

**M/S VARDHAMAN DEVELOPERS AND INFRASTRUCTURE
PVT. LTD.**

.....Respondent

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

% **12.01.2026**

CM APPL. 1762/2026 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) 356/2026

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner pointed out that due to undue delay and long dates of hearing, the petitioners were constrained to file another Interlocutory Application being IA No. 1189 of 2025, seeking preponement of the hearing of IA No. 1538/2024. The said application was first listed before the State Consumer Dispute Resolution Commission (SCDRC) on 16.06.2025 and was thereafter, adjourned on multiple occasions for various reasons. Although on 22.07.2025, arguments were



partly heard, the matter continued to be adjourned from time to time, and ultimately, despite being taken up on 05.12.2025, the matter has been listed for final arguments on 25.02.2026.

2. Learned counsel, therefore, limits her prayer only to the extent of directing the concerned forum to deal with her application under Order VI Rule 17 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908.

3. The Court has considered the aforesaid submissions; however, it finds that in the exercise of the supervisory jurisdiction under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, a direction to the concerned forum to deal with the cases in a particular manner, may not be appropriate. Fixing a specific time for a hearing, without taking into account the various other urgent matters pending before the forum, could disrupt its overall calendar and affect the smooth functioning of its proceedings. Such intervention may create an imbalance in case management, and such micro-management of the proceedings will have to be undertaken by the concerned forum.

6. There is no doubt that the difficulty which is pointed out by the petitioner, if it is brought to the notice of the said forum, the same would not be rectified.

7. Under these circumstances and with the aforesaid observations, the instant petition stands disposed of.

PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV, J

JANUARY 12, 2026/P/MJ