



\$~32

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 35/2026 & CRL.M.A. 261/2026**

RAJEEV RALHAN

.....Petitioner

Through: Counsel for petitioner (*appearance
not given*)

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Rupali Bandhopadhyaya, ASC with
Ms. Anisha Gupta, Advocate for State
and IO/SI Ritu Dangi, PS Cyber,
Shahdara.
Mr. Nitish, Advocate for R-4.
Mr. Sonu Jha, Advocate for R-5.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA

ORDER

06.01.2026

%

1. At the outset, learned ASC appearing for respondent no.1 takes me through the prayer clause of the petition. It is contended that the petition is completely frivolous.
2. After addressing partly, learned counsel for petitioner on instructions of his client seeks permission to withdraw this petition.
3. As requested, the petition and the accompanying application are dismissed as withdrawn.

GIRISH KATHPALIA, J

JANUARY 6, 2026/ry