SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			BA1/2020/2026 Mohit Arora --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. D.C.S. Rawat, learned senior counsel assisted by Mr. Navneet Kumar and Mr. B.S. Rawat, learned counsel for the applicant. 2. Mr. V.S. Pal, learned A.G.A. along with Mr. Nikhil Bisht, learned Brief Holder for the State. 3. Applicant-Mohit Aroa, who is in judicial custody in connection with F.I.R./Case Crime No.60 of 2026, under Section 103(1), 125, 61(2), 190 of B.N.S., 2023, registered at Police Station Rajpur, District Dehradun. 4. As per the prosecution case, the deceased, along with the complainant, was taking a morning walk when suddenly a gunshot was fired from a white Scorpio car, which hit the deceased. The injured was immediately taken to the hospital, where he was declared dead. During the course of investigation, the applicant and other co-accused persons were arrested. 5. Learned senior counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent and has falsely been implicated;

			that, the applicant is not named F.I.R.; that, no overtact has been attributed in commission of crime and his name came into light during the course of investigation and only on the basis of suspicious; that, the The weapon was recovered from co-accused Sameer. It is further submitted that the applicant was neither driving the vehicle nor was any weapon recovered from his possession. 6. He would further submit that the applicant is languishing in judicial custody since 31.03.2026; that, co-accused persons have already been granted bail by this Court; that, that, there is no likelihood of the applicant tampering with the prosecution evidence as the chargesheet has already been filed in the matter; that, the trial is likely to take considerable time to reach its logical conclusion. Thus, the applicant is entitled to be enlarged on bail. 7. Per contra, learned State counsel would vehemently oppose the prayer for bail. However, he does not dispute the fact that the applicant is languishing in jail since 31.03.2026 and the co-accused personal have already been granted bail by this Court. 8. Having considered the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the material available on record, this Court finds that the applicant has been in judicial custody since 31.03.2026. The charge sheet has already been filed, the alleged weapon has been recovered from a co-accused, and no specific role of firing or driving the vehicle

has been attributed to the applicant.

9. Accordingly, the bail application is allowed.

10. Let the applicant be released on bail, on his furnishing a personal bond and two reliable sureties, each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the court concerned, subject to the following conditions:

(i) The applicants shall not, directly or indirectly, threaten, induce or influence any person acquainted with the facts of the case.
(ii) the applicant shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence or influence any witness.
(iii) the applicant shall cooperate with the investigation and trial and regularly appear before the Trial Court, unless exempted.
(v) the applicant shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court concerned.
(vi) They shall furnish their current residential addresses and mobile numbers to the Investigating Officer/Court concerned and promptly intimate any change therein.

11. In case of breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the prosecution shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail granted to the applicant.

(Alok Mahra, J.)
23.11.2026

Mamta

MAMTA RANI

Digitally signed by MAMTA RANI
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, ou=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, 2.5.4.20=6a812005bebfcf46f244f3e584af1449e430ef900bf09a6d67ebbd642671329b, postalCode=263001, st=Uttarakhand, serialNumber=5de1751a4f1d9cabfd54852c9e68911ca8b66dd26690a191648ab5d8dd004ef0, cn=MAMTA RANI
Date: 2026.11.23 19:20:05 +05'30'