SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			BA1/2018/2026 Adil --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Ankur Sharma, learned counsel for the applicant through Video Conferencing. 2. Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, learned Addl. Advocate General along with Mr. S.C. Dumka, learned A.G.A. along with Mr. Nikhil Bisht, learned Brief Holder for the State. 3. Applicant-Adhil, who is in judicial custody in connection with Case Crime/F.I.R. No. 192 of 2026 registered at Police Station-Kotwali Roorkee, District Haridwar for the offences punishable under Sections 3/5/11 of the Uttarakhand Protection of Cow Progeny Act, 2007 and Section 303(2) of B.N.S. has sought his release on bail. 4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record. 5. Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case and has not committed the alleged

			offence; that, the applicant was not arrested from the spot; that, his implication is based solely on the confessional statement of the co-accused persons, who disclosed his name; and that, no independent material has been brought on record to substantiate the said allegation. 6. Learned counsel would further submit that the applicant is languishing in judicial custody since 03.11.2026; that, there is no likelihood of the applicant tampering with the prosecution evidence or absconding as the applicant is permanent resident of District Haridwar; that, the trial is likely to take considerable time to reach its logical conclusion. 7. Per contra, learned State counsel would vehemently oppose the prayer for bail. However, he does not dispute the fact that the applicant is languishing in jail since 03.11.2026. 8. Having considered the rival submissions advanced by learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the material available on record, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court is of the view that the applicant has made out a case for grant of bail. 9. Accordingly, the bail application is allowed. 10. Let the applicant be released on bail, on his furnishing a personal bond and two reliable sureties, each in the like

amount, to the satisfaction of the court concerned, subject to the following conditions:

(i) The applicant shall not, directly or indirectly, threaten, induce or influence any person acquainted with the facts of the case.

(ii) the applicant shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence or influence any witness.

(iii) the applicant shall cooperate with the investigation and trial and regularly appear before the Trial Court, unless exempted.

(v) the applicant shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court concerned.

(vi) They shall furnish their current residential addresses and mobile numbers to the Investigating Officer/Court concerned and promptly intimate any change therein.

11. In case of breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the prosecution shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail granted to the applicant.

(Alok Mahra, J.)
23.11.2026

Mamta

MAMTA RANI

Digitally signed by MAMTA RANI
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, ou=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, 2.5.4.20=6a812005bebfcf46f244f3e584af1449e430ef900bf09a6d67ebbd642671329b, postalCode=263001, st=Uttarakhand, serialNumber=5de1751a4f1d9cabfd54852c9e68911ca8b66dd26690a191648ab5d8dd004ef0, cn=MAMTA RANI
Date: 2026.11.23 19:19:00 +05'30'