SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			BA1/2012/2026 Pawan Singh --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. S.R.S. Gill along with Mr. Milind Raj and Kundan Singh, learned counsel for the applicant. 2. Mr. Chitrarth Kandpal, learned Brief Holder for the State. 3. Applicant- Pawan Singh, who is in judicial custody in connection with First Information Report/Case Crime No. 240 of 2026 registered at P.S. Bazpur, District Udham Singh Nagar, under Sections 109(1), 191(1), 191(2), 191(3), 351(3), 352 of B.N.S., has sought his release on bail. 4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record. 5. Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that the applicant is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case; that, although the applicant has been named in the F.I.R., the allegations levelled against him are vague, general and

			concocted in nature; that, the specific allegation against the applicant is that he was carrying a firearm; however, no specific role or overt act has been attributed to him by either the complainant or the injured. He would further submit that, as is evident from the statements of the complainant and the injured recorded under Section 180 of the B.N.S.S., the firearm shot which hit the injured on his leg has specifically been attributed to co-accused Ramesh @ Massy, and no such role has been assigned to the present applicant. 6. Learned counsel would further submit that, as per the medical evidence, the injured sustained only one firearm injury; that, although an empty cartridge was allegedly recovered from the place of occurrence, the firearm in question was recovered on 24.08.2024, whereas the alleged incident took place on 12.07.2024. He would further submit that the applicant has been in judicial custody since 24.08.2026; that, the investigation is complete and the charge-sheet has already been filed; that the applicant is a permanent resident of District Udham Singh Nagar and, therefore, there is no likelihood of his absconding or fleeing from justice; and that the trial is not likely to conclude in the near future. On these grounds, learned counsel prays that the applicant be enlarged on

bail.

7. Per contra, learned State counsel would vehemently oppose the prayer for bail. However, he fairly admits that the specific allegation regarding firing the shot which hit the injured has been attributed to co-accused Ramesh @ Massy. He also does not dispute that the applicant has been in judicial custody since 24.08.2026.

8. Having considered the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the material available on record, this Court finds that the specific role of firing the shot which resulted in the firearm injury to the injured has been attributed to co-accused Ramesh @ Massy. No specific overt act of firing has been attributed to the present applicant. Further, the investigation has been completed and the charge-sheet has already been filed. Having regard to the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is of the view that the applicant has made out a case for grant of bail.

9. Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the bail application deserves to be allowed Accordingly, the bail application is allowed on the following conditions:-

(a) The applicant shall execute a personal bond and furnish two reliable sureties in the like amount to

the satisfaction of the trial court.

(b) The applicant shall not leave the country without prior permission of the court.

(c) The applicant shall appear before the investigating officer as and when required and cooperate with the investigation.

(d) The applicant shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses, either directly or indirectly and shall not indulge in any criminal activity while on bail.

(e) The applicant shall surrender his passport, if any, to the court or to the investigating agency, to preclude risk of absconding.

10. The bail granted to the applicant shall be liable to be cancelled in the event of any violation of the aforesaid conditions or if the applicant is found to have misused the liberty granted to him.

(Alok Mahra J.)
21.11.2026

Mamta

MA
MTA
RANI

Digitally signed by MAMTA RANI
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, ou=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, 2.5.4.20=6a812005bebfcf46f244f3e584af1449e430ef900bf09a6d67ebbd642671329b, postalCode=263001, st=Uttarakhand, serialNumber=5de1751a4f1d9cabfd54852c9e68911ca8b66dd26690a191648ab5d8dd004ef0, cn=MAMTA RANI
Date: 2026.11.21 16:18:12 +05'30'