SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			UKHC010205222026 **C528/2567/2026** Ankur Singhal And Ors --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Nikhil Singhal, Advocate for the applicants. 2. Mr. Deepak Bisht, D.A.G. for the State. 3. Mr. Narendra Bali, Advocate for respondent no. 2. 4. The present criminal misc. application is filed with a prayer to set-aside the chargesheet, summoning/cognizance order as well as the entire proceedings of Criminal Case No. 194 of 2026, under Sections 115(2), 191(2) and 352 of BNS, pending in the court of learned ACJM, Rishikesh, District Dehradun, on the basis of compromise between the parties. 5. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that this is a case of cross-FIRs. It is further informed that in respect of applicant no. 5, the FIR has already been quashed vide order dated 28.10.2026 passed by this Court; that earlier complainant/respondent no. 2 also filed Crl. Mis. Application being C528 No. 2231/2026, whereby, compromise arrived at between the parties was accepted and the

			matter was compounded vide order dated 28.10.2026. 6. Now, Compounding Application is filed in the matter wherein it is prayed to compound the offences between the parties. 7. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the parties have amicably settled their dispute and have entered into a compromise. 8. Both the parties are present before this Court and are duly identified by their respective counsel. Parties have also filed their respective affidavits stating the facts of compromise between them. 9. The Court interacted with the parties. Upon interaction, it is submitted that now complainant has amicably settled their dispute with the applicants and they do not want to pursue with the criminal proceedings against the applicant. 10. At this stage, learned State Counsel raised a preliminary objection to the effect that the offences sought to be compounded are non-compoundable. 11. However, the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of B.S. Joshi and others Vs. State of Haryana reported in (2003) 4 S.C.C., Page 675, has permitted compounding of non-compoundable offences with the permission of Court. 12. Furthermore, Hon'ble Supreme Court, in a catena of its judgments, has observed that in cases where because of the compromise arrived at between the parties, possibility of conviction is remote and bleak, the High Court may quash the criminal proceedings as continuation of the same would cause great prejudice and injustice to the accused.

			13. Following the aforesaid ratio, the present compounding application is allowed. The offences between the parties are permitted to be compounded. As a result, the entire proceedings of Criminal Case No. 194 of 2026, under Sections 115(2), 191(2) and 352 of BNS, pending in the court of learned ACJM, Rishikesh, District Dehradun, are hereby quashed qua the applicants. 14. Accordingly, the present criminal misc. application stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms. **(Alok Mahra J.)** 19.11.2026 Ujjwal