SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			WPMS/3539/2026 Veena --Petitioner **Versus** District Development Authority --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Hirdiyangam Shah, Advocate for the petitioners. Mr. B.S. Negi, Advocate for the respondent no.2. 2. Petitioners have approached this Court seeking the following reliefs: "(a) Issue a Writ, Order or Direction in the nature of Mandamus commanding and directing respondent no.1 (District Development Authority, Chamoli) to execute its own demolition order dated-16.04.2026 of illegal construction over public drainage channel (सार्वजनिक नाली नगर पालिका) carried out by encroachers' (Prem Kumar Bishnoi and his son Piyush Vishnoi) within a stipulated time frame, to be fixed by this Hon'ble Court. (b) Issue a Writ, Order or Direction in the nature of Mandamus commanding the respondent authorities (specifically respondents No.2) to ensure the restoration of the public drainage channel to its original functional state, thereby facilitating the smooth and unhindered free flow of drainage water and preventing backflow into the Petitioners' property."

			3. By prayer clause no.(a) petitioners seek a direction to the respondents for implementation and execution of the order dated 16.04.2026 passed by the District Development Authority, Chamoli. 4. Learned counsel appearing for respondent no.2 has raised a preliminary objection regarding the maintainability of the writ petition on the ground that the person against whom the relief is essentially sought has not been impleaded as a party to the present proceedings. 5. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record. 6. Learned counsel appearing for respondent no.2 has raised a preliminary objection regarding the maintainability of the writ petition on the ground that the person against whom the relief is essentially sought has not been impleaded as a party to the present proceedings. 7. This Court finds substance in the objection so raised. A perusal of the reliefs sought in the writ petition reveals that the petitioners are essentially seeking enforcement and execution of the order dated 16.04.2026 passed by the District Development Authority, Chamoli. The petitioners, in effect, seek to invoke the writ jurisdiction of this Court for securing implementation of the aforesaid order. However, this Court, while exercising its writ jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, cannot be converted into an executing forum for enforcement of an order passed by an administrative authority. Furthermore, in the absence of the person against whom the relief is substantially sought, no effective or executable direction can be issued in the present proceedings.

			8. In view of the foregoing discussion, this Court does not find any ground to entertain the present writ petition. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed. **(Alok Mahra, J.)** 25.11.2026 Neha NEHA BISHT Digitally signed by NEHA BISHT DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, ou=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, 2.5.4.20=6f1c15b6305912b3f008e9a4a8038ee7326b08b2d0e018b01be753f014836d27, postalCode=263001, st=UTTARAKHAND, serialNumber=3D89DC33779FB9677068452F32DE6BA960BFE64D819EE44CA9CCE487B2FE0F92, cn=NEHA BISHT Date: 2026.11.25 14:47:02 +05'30'