SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			BA1/1950/2026 Kalicharan Alias Kalicharan --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Aditya Pratap Singh, learned counsel for the applicant. Mr. V.S. Pal, learned A.G.A. along with Mr. Nikhil Bisht, learned Brief Holder for the State of Uttarakhand. 2. The present bail application has been moved by the applicant, who is in judicial custody in connection with FIR No. 51 of 2026, registered at Police Station Champawat, District Champawat, for the offences punishable under Sections 8/20/29/60 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (hereinafter referred to as the "NDPS Act"). 3. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and learned State Counsel and perused the material available on record. 4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant was merely a passenger in the car, which was occupied by five persons, including the driver and four passengers. It is submitted that the alleged contraband was recovered from the boot of the car, concealed beneath the CNG cylinder kit, and that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the total quantity of the contraband allegedly recovered from all the co-accused persons collectively is 992 grams, which is less than the commercial quantity. Furthermore, no electronic weighing

			machine was used at the spot to weigh the alleged contraband. On these grounds, learned counsel for the applicant submits that the prosecution has failed to establish that the applicant was in conscious possession of the alleged contraband. It is also contended that the applicant has no criminal antecedents and that co-accused Jitendra Singh Rana has already been enlarged on bail. Since the case of the present applicant stands on an identical footing, he is also entitled to bail on the ground of parity. 5. Per contra, learned State Counsel has opposed the prayer for grant of bail; however, he fairly concedes that the case of the present applicant is identical to that of the co-accused who has already been granted bail. 6. Having considered the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the material available on record, this Court is of the considered view that the applicant has made out a case for grant of bail. 7. Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the applicant on bail. The bail application is, accordingly, allowed. 8. Let the applicant be released on bail upon executing a personal bond and furnishing two reliable sureties, each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the Court concerned, subject to the following conditions: (i) The applicant shall regularly attend the proceedings before the learned Trial Court and shall not seek any unnecessary adjournment. (ii) The applicant shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to

			dissuade such person from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer. (iii) The applicant shall not leave India without obtaining prior permission of the learned Trial Court. 9. It is clarified that, in the event of misuse of the liberty of bail or violation of any of the conditions imposed hereinabove, the complainant/informant shall be at liberty to move an appropriate application before the competent Court seeking cancellation of the applicant's bail. **(Alok Mahra, J.)** 18-11-2026 SB BINJOLA