SL. No	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGES'S ORDERS
			ABA No.466 of 2026 Amandeep Singh .....Applicant Vs. State of Uttarakhand .....Respondent **Hon'ble Pankaj Purohit, J.** Mr. Jitendra Chaudhary, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Mr. Saurabh Kumar Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant. 2. Mr. Tumul Nainwal, learned A.G.A. for the State. 3. Mr. Vishwa Prakash Bahguna, learned counsel for the complainant. 4. Applicant has moved this anticipatory bail application in FIR No.0174 of 2026 dated 14.10.2026, under Sections 318(4), 336(3), 338, 340(2), 352 and 61(2) of B.N.S. 2023 registered at Police Station-Dalanwala, District-Dehradun. 5. As per version of the FIR lodged by Kusum Panwar, a registered Power of Attorney dated 19.12.2023, which was registered with the Sub-Registrar, Dehradun, on 20.12.2023, was got executed by the applicant in his own favour in respect of the land situated at Sewak Ashram Road, Dalanwala, Dehradun (Old No. 10, New No. 140/120), comprising open land with the allegation that a fraud has been committed and that the aforesaid property was sold by the applicant in favour of one Sonia Chaudhary. 6. Learned Senior Advocate for the applicant has drawn attention of this Court to register power of attorney which

has been annexed as annexure no.2 and it is submitted by him that in the said power of attorney executed by informant her daughter (Pinky Panwar) and her grandson (Abhishek) are the witness and therefore it cannot be said that being an old lady the applicant has committed fraud with her and executed registered Power of Attorney.

7. He further submitted that the land which was sold by the applicant is a fair deal as the said land was sold on the basis of Power Of Attorney executed in his favour.

8. *Per contra*, learned counsel for the State submits, on instructions, that without knowledge of the informant-Kusum Panwar the property was sold and the sale consideration has not been paid to the informant which resulted into filing of the FIR.

9. Learned Senior Advocate for the applicant submits that an amount of ₹15,50,000/- was given to the informant-Kusum Panwar through bank and cash on different dates enumerated in a complaint given by the applicant to Senior Superintendent of Police, Dehradun on 17.10.2026 which is annexed as annexure no.5.

10. Be that as it may. From bare perusal of the power of attorney it cannot be said that power of attorney was taken by the applicant by committing fraud upon the informant as power of attorney is witnessed by the daughter and grandson as executants of Power Of Attorney i.e. informant.

11. On the strength of aforesaid power of attorney the land was sold to Sonia Chaudhary at a sale consideration of ₹43,87,000/- but instead of returning the aforesaid

amount to the informant, a meager sum of ₹15,50,000/- was paid to her which actually has given rise to a dispute between the parties.

12. The cheating is not committed by the applicant on execution of power of attorney rather subsequently the informant was deprived of sale consideration. Being a power of attorney holder, the petitioner would have been entitled to get some commission for selling the property but he cannot take all the money with him.

13. In such view of the matter, this Court feels that an old lady of 76 years of age has been duped with money for which only applicant can be held responsible. Thus, no case is made out for interference.

14. Accordingly anticipatory bail application stands rejected.

(Pankaj Purohit, J.)
18.11.2026

SK
SHUBHAM KAPRUWAN