| SL. No. | Date | Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures | COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | **WPCRL/2306/2026**<br><br>Jasvinder Kaur<br>--Petitioner<br>**Versus**<br>State Of Uttarakhand and others<br>--Respondents<br><br>**Hon'ble Pankaj Purohit, J.**<br>Mr. Ramji Shrivastava, learned counsel for petitioner.<br><br>**2.** Ms. Sweta Badola Dobhal, learned Brief Holder for the State of Uttarakhand/respondent nos. 1 and 2.<br><br>**3.** The writ petition has been filed by the petitioner who is mother of respondent no.3 aged about 61 years for seeking protection from the respondent no.3 for her life, liberty and property.<br><br>**4.** It is contended by the petitioner that her son respondent no.3 Inderjeet Singh is in bad habit and is with one lady Sangeeta. He is in the possession of one shop which he was running since the days of his father and now not allowing the petitioner even to visit the shop. He further submits that the respondent no.3 is not maintaining the petitioner conversely the petitioner is |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | maintaining daughter of respondent no.3. She asserts threat perception from the respondent no.3 for her life, liberty and property before this Court. The petitioner has moved a representation to the In-charge, Senior Citizen Cell/Senior Superintendent of Police, Dehradun on 21.10.2026 seeking protection.<br><br>**5.** From the perusal of the complaint which has been made by the petitioner before the S.S.P it has not been stated in so many words that the protection may be granted but many incidents reflecting strained relationship have been mentioned in the said application.<br><br>**6.** Per contra, learned State Counsel submits that the respondent no.3 has not visited the house of the petitioner from the last three years and therefore, according to the instructions no threat perception is there.<br><br>**7.** Having heard the learned counsel for the parties, and having perused the record of the case as well as the application, this Court is of the view that threat can not only be made by visiting the house it may be extended by various modes like over telephone, over many other communications modes, therefore, the stand taken by the learned |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | counsel for the State cannot be accepted.<br><br>**8.** The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. The S.S.P. Dehradun is directed to instruct the senior officer of his department not below the rank of Circle Officer to visit the house of the petitioner and to assess the threat perception, if any, to the petitioner. The Circle Officer shall report the S.S.P. If found that there are threat perception to the petitioner, he shall provide protection to the petitioner for her life, liberty and property for a period of one month; after one month the threat perception shall also be again assessed and be ordered accordingly.<br><br>**(Pankaj Purohit, J.)**<br>18.11.2026<br>Nahid |