SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			BA1/1937/2026 Deepak Manola --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Deep Prakash Bhatt, Advocate for the applicant. Mr. V.S. Pal, A.G.A. for the State of Uttarakhand. 2. This first bail application has been moved by the applicant seeking regular bail in F.I.R./Case Crime No.173 of 2026, under Section 115(2), 126(2), 352, 309(4), 3(5) of B.N.S., 2023, registered at Police Station Nanakmatta, District Udham Singh Nagar. 3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case and has been languishing in judicial custody since 06.08.2026. It is further contended that the allegations made in the F.I.R. are entirely distinct from the offences subsequently alleged against the applicant and other co-accused persons. Learned counsel submits that even in the F.I.R., no specific role has been attributed to the applicant. It is further pointed out that neither the weapon allegedly used in the commission of the offence, as referred to in the F.I.R., nor any other incriminating material has been recovered from the possession of the applicant or the other co-accused persons. On these grounds, it is contended that the applicant deserves to be enlarged on bail.

4. Per contra, learned State Counsel has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail.

5. Having considered the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the material available on record, this Court finds that the applicant has been in judicial custody since 06.08.2026. A perusal of the F.I.R. indicates that no specific role or overt act has been attributed to the applicant therein. Further, as pointed out by learned counsel for the applicant, no weapon allegedly used in the commission of the offence or any other incriminating material is stated to have been recovered from the possession of the applicant. This Court also takes note of the contention that there is a discrepancy between the allegations contained in the F.I.R. and the offences subsequently alleged against the applicant and the other co-accused persons.

6. In the facts and circumstances of the case, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the allegations and keeping in view the principle of parity, this Court is of the considered view that the applicant has made out a case for grant of bail.

7. Accordingly, the bail application is allowed.

8. Let the applicant be released on bail, on executing personal bond and furnishing two reliable sureties, each of like amount, to the satisfaction of Court concerned.

(Alok Mahra, J.)
17.11.2026

Arpan

ARPAN JAISWAL
Digitally signed by ARPAN JAISWAL
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, ou=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, 2.5.4.20=eabb68a3895e41937c266c23964c0485365445e3a20dddb7393398f9fe45ba3e, postalCode=263001, st=UTTARAKHAND, serialNumber=060FC17022BEAE3DE215D68D9D454C5109CB9874463515E4D904AAD4A2C2CEA66, cn=ARPAN JAISWAL
Date: 2026.11.17 17:50:44 +05'30'