SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			BA1/1933/2026 Tanveer Alais Bablu --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Gaurav Singh, Advocate for the applicant. Mr. V.S. Pal, A.G.A. for the State of Uttarakhand. 2. This first bail application has been moved by the applicant seeking regular bail in F.I.R./Case Crime No.337 of 2026, under Section 137(2) of B.N.S., 2023 and Section 16/17 of POCSO Act, registered at Police Station Pathari, District Haridwar. 3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case and has been languishing in judicial custody since 20.07.2026. It is further contended that, even as per the allegations made in the F.I.R., no specific role of abetment has been attributed to the applicant. Learned counsel further submits that the victim, in her statement recorded under Section 183 of the B.N.S.S., as well as in her deposition before the trial Court as P.W.1, has not attributed any role of abetment to the applicant. It is, therefore, contended that, in the absence of any specific allegation or statement of the victim indicating the applicant's involvement in

			the alleged abetment, his continued incarceration is not warranted and he is entitled to be enlarged on bail. 4. Per contra, learned State Counsel has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail. 5. Having considered the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the material available on record, this Court finds that the applicant has been in judicial custody since 20.07.2026. A perusal of the F.I.R. does not indicate any specific allegation attributing a role of abetment to the applicant. Further, it is noteworthy that even in her statement recorded under Section 183 of the B.N.S.S. and in her deposition before the trial Court as P.W.1, the victim has not specifically attributed any act of abetment to the applicant. 6. In the facts and circumstances of the case, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the allegations and keeping in view the principle of parity, this Court is of the considered view that the applicant has made out a case for grant of bail. 7. Accordingly, the bail application is allowed. 8. Let the applicant be released on bail, on executing personal bond and furnishing two reliable sureties, each of like amount, to the satisfaction of Court concerned. **(Alok Mahra, J.)** 17.11.2026 *Arpan*

ARPAN JAISWAL
Digitally signed by ARPAN JAISWAL
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, ou=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, 2.5.4.20=ea0d6dbc3d65e41937c266c23964d54f6536545e3a20ddd b7343398f9fe45ba3e, postalCode=263001, st=UTTARAKHAND, serialNumber=060FC170228EA52D5215D68D9D45C5109CB987 44625154D9D4AADAACC2CDA66, cn=ARPAN JAISWAL
Date: 2026.11.17 17:46:42 +05'30'