SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			UKHC010201372026 **WPCRL/2289/2026** Dalvinder Singh --Petitioner **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Siddhartha Sah, J.** Mr. Mukesh Kumar Kaparuwan, learned counsel for the petitioner. 2. Mr. B. C. Joshi, learned AGA for the State. 3. By means of the present petition, the petitioner has sought the following reliefs:- i. A writ, order or direction in the nature of certiorari to quash the impugned First Information Report dated 02.11.2026 lodged by the respondent No. 3 at Police Station- Rishikesh, District-Dehradun which has been registered as F.I.R. No. 0574 of 2026 relating to the offences punishable under section Under Section 121 (1), 221 & 132 of BNS. ii. A writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus commanding the Respondent No. 1 and 2 not to take any coercive measures against the petitioner in connection with the impugned First Information Report dated 02.11.2026 lodged by the respondent No. 3 at Police Station- Rishikesh,

			District- Dehradun which has been registered as F.I.R. No. 0574 of 2026 relating to the offences punishable under section Under Section 121 (1), 221 & 132 of BNS. iii. Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus commanding respondent No. 1 to transfer the F.I.R. to any other police station and not to arrest the petitioner or take any coercive action against him in pursuance of the said F.I.R.” 4. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the offences are punishable with imprisonment of less than seven years and, as such, the petitioner is entitled to the benefit of the judgment of the Hon’ble Supreme Court rendered in the case of Arnesh Kumar v. State of Bihar, reported in (2014) 8 SCC 273. He further presses his prayer for the transfer of the FIR to any other police station. However, after some time, he submits that, at this stage, he is not pressing the following prayer: “iii. Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus commanding respondent No. 1 to transfer the F.I.R. to any other police station and not to arrest the petitioner or take any coercive action against him in pursuance of the said F.I.R.” 5. Since relief No. iii is not pressed, therefore, the petition is dismissed qua relief no.iii. 6. He further seeks liberty to avail of the aforesaid prayer No.iii in the event he faces any difficulty in the future. 7. The petition is thus disposed of in terms of the

directions issued by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in the case of Arnesh Kumar *(supra)*, with liberty to the petitioner to seek the transfer of the investigation at a future date, if so required.

8. With the aforesaid liberty, the writ petition is disposed of finally.

(Siddhartha Sah, J.)
06.11.2026

BS