SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			UKHC010201082026 **BA1/1913/2026** Sunil Kumar --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Sanjay Bhatt, Advocate for the applicant. 2. Mr. V.S. Pal, A.G.A. for the State. 3. The applicant, who is in judicial custody in connection with FIR/Case Crime No. 210 of 2026, under Sections 3/5/6 of Immoral Traffic (Prevention) Act, 1956, Section 77 of J.J. Act, 2015, Section 14 of POCSO Act, 2012, Section 143 of BNS, Section 60/21 of Excise Act, registered at P.S.- Ram Nagar, District Nainital, has sought his release on bail. 4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the records. 5. In this case, after investigation, chargesheet has been filed and in the chargesheet, 52 accused persons have been named. 6. Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that applicant has falsely been implicated in the case; that he was only an employee of the company and had accompanied the group of his company, where he was working; that the resort was booked by the owner of the company; that applicant has been made an accused as Rs. 20,000/- were transmitted through UPI by the applicant to the service provider; that other co-

accused, who were employees of the company, have already been enlarged on bail; that the offence of POCSO Act as narrated in the FIR is not made out against the applicant inasmuch as victim's age was found to be 19.02.2007 and as such she was major on the date of the incident; that besides this, no other role has been attributed to the applicant in the FIR.

7. Learned State Counsel, on the other hand, has vehemently opposed the bail application.

8. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the records.

9. Having considered the submissions, under the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that other co-accused persons, who were employees of the company, have already been enlarged on bail, this Court is of the view that it is a case fit for bail and the applicant deserves to be enlarged on bail.

10. The bail application is allowed.

11. Let the applicant be released on bail, on executing personal bond and furnishing two reliable sureties, each of like amount, to the satisfaction of Court concerned, subject the following conditions:-

> "i) The applicant shall attend the trial Court regularly, and, he will not seek any unnecessary adjournment.
>
> ii) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of this case.
>
> iii) The applicant shall not leave India without any prior permission of the trial Court."

12. It is clarified that if the applicant misuses or violates any of the conditions, imposed upon him, the prosecution will be free to move the court for cancellation of bail.

(Alok Mahra J.)
06.11.2026

Ujjwal