SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			UKHC010200942026 **BA1/1911/2026** Akash --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Rajendra Singh Azad and Mr. Devesh Saini, Advocates for the applicant. 2. Mr. V.S. Pal, A.G.A. for the State. 3. The applicant, who is in judicial custody in connection with FIR/Case Crime No. 340 of 2024, under Sections 376(2)(n), 420 of IPC, registered at P.S.-Kotwali Ranipur, District Haridwar, has sought his release on bail. 4. In this case, complaint was filed by the complainant alleging therein that the applicant and the complainant were known to each other since the year 2022 and thereafter they developed intimacy due to which on several occasions, physical relations were established between them. It is further alleged in the complaint that since the applicant and his family members have assured the complainant that marriage of the complainant and the applicant would be solemnized very soon, as such, she had advanced a loan of approximately Rs. 16 Lakhs to the applicant and his family to

			purchase a plot and this loan was advanced with the assurance to the complainant by the applicant that the plot would be purchased in her name, but, neither the plot was purchased in her name nor the money was returned to her. 5. Learned Counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has falsely been implicated in the case; that it is admitted that the father and brother of the applicant have deposited some instalments of the loan, but, later on, they refused to deposit the EMI of the said loan. It is further submitted that the dispute is only regarding loan amount, which is civil in nature. It is also submitted that at the time of the incident, the age of the applicant was about 25 years while that of the complainant was about 26 years. 6. Learned State Counsel, on the other hand, has vehemently opposed the bail application. 7. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the records. 8. Having considered the submissions, under the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact the dispute is only regarding loan amount, which is civil in nature and also considering that at the time of the incident, the age of the applicant was about 25 years while that of the complainant was about 26 years, this Court is of the view that it is a case fit for bail and the applicant deserves to be enlarged on bail. 9. The bail application is allowed. 10. Let the applicant be released on bail,

on executing personal bond and furnishing two reliable sureties, each of like amount, to the satisfaction of Court concerned, subject the following conditions:-

> "i) The applicant shall attend the trial Court regularly, and, he will not seek any unnecessary adjournment.
>
> ii) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of this case.
>
> iii) The applicant shall not leave India without any prior permission of the trial Court."

11. It is clarified that if the applicant misuses or violates any of the conditions, imposed upon him, the prosecution will be free to move the court for cancellation of bail.

(Alok Mahra J.)
06.11.2026

Ujjwal