SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			UKHC010200892023 **C482/2426/2023** Joravar Singh and Others --Applicants **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Sanjay Kumar Chandel, Advocate for the applicants. 2. Mr. Rakesh Joshi, A.G.A. for the State. 3. Mr. Siddhartha Bankoti, legal-aid-counsel for respondent no. 2. 4. The present criminal misc. application is filed with a prayer to set-aside the chargesheet, summoning/cognizance order as well as the entire proceedings of Criminal Case No. 330 of 2020, State of Uttarakhand Vs. Joravar Singh and Others, under Sections 323, 452, 504, 506, 498-A of IPC and Section 3/4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961, pending in the court of learned Judicial Magistrate, Jaspur, District U.S. Nagar. 5. Today, learned counsel for both the parties submit that dispute between the parties has been amicably settled. It is further submitted that the only dispute remaining between them was that the applicant no.1 was having the ration card of respondent no. 2, which he was not handing over to respondent no.2. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that applicant no.1 and respondent

			no.2 got separated by decree of divorce under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955. It is further submitted that applicant no.1 has removed his name from the ration card and all the documents have been handed over to the respondent no.2. Learned counsel for respondent no. 2 also agrees to the said submission, as advanced by learned counsel for the applicant. 6. Since, as such, there is no dispute pending between the parties and they have reached to an amicable settlement and got separated by decree of divorce under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, therefore, possibility of the trial resulting into conviction of the accused is remote and bleak and, that being so, continuation of criminal proceedings would visit the accused with great oppression, prejudice and injustice. Rather, it would tantamount to abuse of process of law. Ends of justice would be met if criminal proceedings are put to an end, because this would allow the parties to translate their decision to live in peace in the reality. 7. In view of above discussion, the entire proceedings of Criminal Case No. 330 of 2020, State of Uttarakhand Vs. Joravar Singh and Others, under Sections 323, 452, 504, 506, 498-A of IPC and Section 3/4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961, pending in the court of learned Judicial Magistrate, Jaspur, District U.S. Nagar, are hereby quashed qua the applicants. 8. Accordingly, the present criminal misc. application stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms. **(Alok Mahra J.)** 25.11.2026 Ujjwal