SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			UKHC010199532026 **C528/2501/2026** Lalit Kumar Alias Robin --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Gaurav Singh, Advocate for the applicants. 2. Mr. Pramod Tiwari, A.G.A. for the State. 3. Mr. Susheel Kumar, Advocate for respondent no. 2. 4. The present criminal misc. application is filed with a prayer to set-aside the chargesheet, summoning/cognizance order as well as the entire proceedings of Criminal Case No. 5400 of 2026, under Sections 109(1), 85, 115(2), 351(2), 352, 3(5) of BNS, pending in the court of learned CJM, Haridwar, District Haridwar, on the basis of compromise between the parties. 5. In this case, complaint was lodged by the complainant/respondent no.2 against the applicants, wherein, she has alleged that she was beaten and harassed for demand of dowry. After investigation, chargesheet was filed. 6. Now, Compounding Application is filed in the matter wherein it is prayed to compound the offences between the parties. 7. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the parties have amicably settled their dispute and have entered into a compromise. 8. Both the parties are present before this Court

			and are duly identified by their respective counsel. Parties have also filed their respective affidavits stating the facts of compromise between them. 9. The Court interacted with the parties. Upon interaction, it is submitted that now complainant has amicably settled her dispute with the applicants and she does not want to pursue with the criminal proceedings against the applicants. It is further submitted that applicant and respondent no. 2 are now living together peacefully and out of the wedlock, two sons are born. 10. At this stage, learned State Counsel raised a preliminary objection to the effect that the offences sought to be compounded are non-compoundable. 11. However, the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of B.S. Joshi and others Vs. State of Haryana reported in (2003) 4 S.C.C., Page 675, has permitted compounding of non-compoundable offences with the permission of Court. 12. Furthermore, Hon'ble Supreme Court, in a catena of its judgments, has observed that in cases where because of the compromise arrived at between the parties, possibility of conviction is remote and bleak, the High Court may quash the criminal proceedings as continuation of the same would cause great prejudice and injustice to the accused. 13. Following the aforesaid ratio, the present compounding application is allowed. The offences between the parties are permitted to be compounded. As a result, the entire proceedings of Criminal Case No. 5400 of 2026, under Sections 109(1), 85, 115(2), 351(2), 352, 3(5) of BNS, pending in the court of learned CJM, Haridwar, District Haridwar, are hereby quashed qua the applicants. 14. Accordingly, the present criminal misc. application stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms. **(Alok Mahra J.)** 05.11.2026 Ujjwal