SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			UKHC010199032026 **WPCRL/2264/2026** Harjeet Singh --Petitioner **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Siddhartha Sah, J.** Mr. Rajat Mittal, learned counsel for the petitioner. 2. Mr. Tumul Nainwal, learned A.G.A. for the State. 3. Ms. Manpreet Kaur, learned counsel with Mr. Sanjay Kumar Chandel, learned counsel for the respondent no.3. 4. Mr. Harjeet Singh, the petitioner, is present through video conferencing, duly identified by Mr. Harshpal Sekhon, Advocate. 5. Ms. Randeep Kaur, respondent no. 3, is present through video conferencing, duly identified by Ms. Manpreet Kaur, Advocate. 6. By means of the present petition, the petitioner has sought quashing of the F.I.R. No. 326 of 2024, dated 06.12.2024, under Sections 323, 354, 498-A, 506 of the Indian Penal Code & Section 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961, registered at Police Station- I.T.I.,

			District- Udham Singh Nagar, lodged by the respondent no. 3/ Randeep Kaur. 7. Compounding Application (IA No. 1 of 2026) has been filed on behalf of the petitioner and also signed by the respondent no. 3 as well, in which a prayer has been made for compounding of the entire proceedings arising out of the F.I.R. No. 326 of 2024, dated 06.12.2024, under Sections 323, 354, 498-A, 506 of the Indian Penal Code & Section 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961, registered at Police Station- I.T.I., District- Udham Singh Nagar, lodged by the respondent no. 3/ Randeep Kaur. 8. On an interaction with the respondent no. 3, who is appearing through video conferencing, she states that she has settled the matter with the petitioner and she does not want to pursue the matter any further. In the affidavit also, the respondent no. 3 has categorically stated that due to irreparable breakdown of marriage, spouses have decided to part ways in order to put an quietus to the dispute. As a matter of fact, one-time settlement amount has been paid by the petitioner to the complainant. Divorce petition under Section 27 of the Uniform Civil Code of Uttarakhand has been moved. Now, the parties have reached an amicable settlement and have settled their disputes. Therefore, present Criminal Petition along with Compounding Application is being filed. The parties do not want criminal proceedings to continue and, therefore, request this Court to kindly interfere. 9. On an interaction with the petitioner, he also makes a similar averment that the matter has been

			settled and the proceedings qua him deserve to be quashed. An affidavit has also been filed on behalf of the petitioner on the similar lines as has been filed by the respondent no. 3. 10. The learned counsel for the petitioner and the respondent no. 3 would submit that the parties have resolved their disputes amicably and the respondent no. 3 does not wish to prosecute the petitioner any further, and therefore, permitting the further continuance of the criminal proceedings would be a futile exercise. 11. The learned AGA for the State would submit that the matter emanates out of a matrimonial dispute and the offences can be compounded. 12. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the cases of "B.S. Joshi and others vs. State of Haryana and another", (2003) 4 SCC 675, "Nikhil Merchant vs. CBI and another", (2008) 9 SCC 650 and "Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab", 2012 (10) SCC 303 as well as in Transfer Petition (Criminal) No. 115 of 2012 (Dimpey Gujral vs. Union Territory of Chandigarh) decided on 06.12.2012, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has permitted the compounding of non-compoundable offence and has held that in exercise of power under Section 482 of Cr.P.C., compounding can be done in non-compoundable offences. 13. In such view of the matter, since the parties to the matrimony have resolved their disputes amicably, and the respondent no. 2 has categorically stated before this Court, appearing through VC, that she does not want to further prosecute the petitioner, therefore, letting the

matter go into trial would be a futile exercise.

14. In such view of the matter, Compounding Application deserves to be allowed, and the proceedings arising out of the F.I.R. No. 326 of 2024, dated 06.12.2024, under Sections 323, 354, 498-A, 506 of the Indian Penal Code & Section 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961, registered at Police Station- I.T.I., District- Udham Singh Nagar, lodged by the respondent no. 3/ Randeep Kaur, qua the petitioner are hereby quashed.

15. The Compounding Application (IA No. 1 of 2026) is allowed accordingly.

16. The writ petition is disposed of accordingly qua the petitioner.

(Siddhartha Sah, J.)
06.11.2026

Shiv/