Sl. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS

2026:UHC:10522

ABA/455/2026

Ashu Sharma

--Applicant

Versus

State Of Uttarakhand

--Respondent

Hon'ble Pankaj Purohit, J.

Mr. Pranav Singh, learned Counsel for the applicant.

2. Ms. Rangoli Purohit, learned AGA for the State.

3. Mr. Gaurav Singh, learned Counsel has instructions to appear on behalf of the informant. He seeks and is granted 24 hours' time to file power in the Registry.

4. The applicant has sought anticipatory bail in respect of First Information Report No.0294 of 2026 dated 14.07.2026 registered with Police Station Bhagwanpur, District Haridwar under Section 80(2) of BNS, 2023.

5. In the FIR, it was alleged by the informant that his daughter was married with Shubham Sharma on 18.11.2024 as per Hindu rituals. Soon after the marriage, the members of in-laws house began to harass and torture the victim on the pretext of bringing lesser dowry, and a demand of Rs.10.00 lakh besides some gold articles was consistently being made. The FIR was lodged against seven persons. On 13.07.2026, the daughter of informant died and on 14.07.2026, informant was informed about the occurrence.

6. The matter was investigated which resulted into exoneration of other accused persons and submitting charge-sheet against present applicant (Nanad) and

husband of victim. Hence the applicant is before this Court seeking anticipatory bail.

7. It is submitted on behalf of applicant that applicant is a lady; she is residing in her matrimonial house situated at Nazibabad (U.P.) along with her husband; she is the mother of two children, one of which was recently born; and applicant has no concern with the dowry demand.

8. On the other hand, learned Counsel appearing for the State as also learned Counsel appearing for informant vehemently opposed the anticipatory bail application; it was argued that the applicant along with main accused (husband) physically and mentally tortured the daughter of informant and on non-fulfillment of demand, she was coerced to commit suicide.

9. From the averments made in the body of FIR, it appears that the applicant used to instigate her brother (main accused) against the deceased by various kinds; present applicant used to reproach the deceased for not bringing gold ring, gold tops, and she also made a demand of Rs.11,000/- which could be made available by the mother of deceased.

10. This is a case where a young lady lost her life just within 18 months of her marriage by committing suicide; there are allegations of demand of dowry and harassment against the applicant.

11. The Call Details Report (CDR) collected during investigation also reflect that on the date of incident, applicant was present in her matrimonial house located in

			Village Kunja Bahadarpur, Tehsil Roorkee, District Haridwar. Further, the documents adduced by applicant regarding the recent birth of her child also reflect that the said child too was born in her matrimonial house. 12. Moreover, there is no possibility of present case being a false one inasmuch as the FIR was lodged against seven accused persons in which the applicant was also named but the charge-sheet was filed only in respect of applicant (Nanad) and her brother (husband). 13. Looking to the heinousness of offence coupled with entire facts and circumstances of the case, the Court is of the opinion that it is not a fit case to grant anticipatory bail to the applicant at this stage. 14. Accordingly, the anticipatory bail application is rejected. 15. However, the applicant would be at liberty to surrender before the Court of competent jurisdiction within 15 days. 16. Pending application, if any, stands disposed of. **(Pankaj Purohit, J.)** 18.11.2026 *R.Dang*

RAJEEV DANG