SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			WPCRL/2257/2026 Priyanka and another --Petitioners **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand and others --Respondents **Hon'ble Pankaj Purohit, J.** Mr. Rohit Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioners. 2. Mrs. Rangoli Purohit, learned A.G.A. for the State. 3. By the instant petition, the petitioners Smt. Priyanka D/o Mukesh Kumar and Uday S/o Bablu are praying for protection since there is a threat perception from the respondent no. 4 to 8 who are the father, brother, uncle (Chacha) and cousin brother of the petitioner no.1. 4. Both the petitioners are present in Court and are identified by their counsel Mr. Rohit Kumar. 5. It is contended that both the petitioners are major and they were in love with each other and now married on 11.09.2026 at Prachin Shiv Mandir

			Roorkee, District Haridwar and their marriage is also registered under the provision of UCC on 07.10.2026. The marriage registration certificate is also enclosed as Annexure-2. So far as the date of birth of the petitioners are concerned, the High School certificate of petitioner no. 1 is enclosed, according to which the date of birth of petitioner no.1 is 14.01.2004 and so far as the petitioner no. 2 is concerned as per Aadhaar Card his date of birth is 20.02.2005. 6. Mrs. Rangoli Purohit, the learned A.G.A. has not disputed on their age as well as their marriage since the marriage certificate is also enclosed. 7. Both petitioners are present before this Court Upon interaction, petitioner no.1 Priyanka submits that she married to the petitioner no.2 out of her own will and volition and without any pressure. She further stated that they are facing threats at the hands of the private respondents. 8. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have filed a representation to SSP, Haridwar, dated 01.011.2026, which is annexed as

annexure-3 to the writ petition and if a direction is issued to respondent no.1/SSP, Haridwar to decide the representation of the petitioners and to provide security and protection to them, the ends of justice would be met.

9. From perusal of record, it transpires that both petitioners are major, and they deserve some protection.

10. In such view of the matter, writ petition is disposed of finally. The Senior Superintendent of Police, District Haridwar, is directed to consider the representation of the petitioners dated 01.11.2026, annexed as annexure-3 to the writ petition, in accordance with law, and assess the threat perception to the petitioners at the hands of private respondents. If required, protection for the life and liberty of the petitioners shall be provided for such period as the concerned S.S.P. deems appropriate.

(Pankaj Purohit, J.)

03.11.2026

Nahid