SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			C528/2486/2026 Mohd Irshad --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Sachin Veer Singh, learned counsel for the applicants. 2. Mr. Pramod Tiwari, learned A.G.A. for the State. 3. Mr. Aamir Malik, learned counsel for respondent no.3. 4. Present C-528 application has been filed seeking quashing of the chargesheet, summoning order dated 21.07.2025 passed by learned 1st Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate, District Dehradun in Criminal Case No.5167 of 2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 323, 504, 498-A of the I.P.C. and 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act as well as the as the entire proceedings of the aforesaid criminal case. 5. Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that the present case arises out of matrimonial discord; that applicant no.1 and respondent no.3 are husband and wife and applicant no.3 are

			elder brother-in-law of the respondent no.3; that, due to certain matrimonial disputes between them, respondent no.3 lodged a complaint against the applicants; that, pursuant thereto, after completion of investigation, the Investigating Officer submitted the charge-sheet against the applicants, on which the learned trial court took cognizance. 6. Learned counsel for the applicants would submit that the applicants and respondent no. 3/complainant have amicably resolved their dispute and do not wish to pursue the criminal proceedings any further. He would further submit that applicant no. 1 and respondent no. 3, being husband and wife, have decided to live separately and have executed an agreement in this regard. Therefore, respondent no. 3 does not wish to pursue the present proceedings against the applicants. In support of the aforesaid submissions, a joint compounding application (IA No. 1 of 2026), along with the affidavits of the applicants and respondent no. 2/complainant, has been filed, stating that respondent no. 2 does not wish to prosecute the applicants any further. 7. The applicant and respondent no. 2/complainant are present before the Court through Video Conferencing and have been duly identified by their respective counsel. Upon interaction with the Court, both parties have stated that

			they have mutually decided to live separately and dissolve their marriage by mutual consent. They have further stated that, in this regard, the first motion under the Uniform Civil Code (U.C.C.) has already been completed. 8. Learned State Counsel opposes the application, on the ground that the allegations include the offences which are non-compoundable in nature. However, does not dispute the factum of compromise between the parties or the filing of the joint compounding application. 9. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record. 10. From the material brought on record, it transpires that the dispute between the applicants and respondent no. 3 has arisen out of matrimonial discord. The parties, who are husband and wife, are present before this Court and have been duly identified by their respective counsel. Upon interaction, both have unequivocally stated that they have amicably resolved their differences and are now residing separately from each other. A joint compounding application (IA No. 1 of 2026), supported by their respective affidavits, has also been filed wherein respondent no. 3 has categorically stated that she does not wish to pursue the criminal proceedings against the applicants. 11. Although the offences alleged against the applicants are non-

			compoundable in nature, it is well settled that this Court, in exercise of its inherent jurisdiction under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C./Section 528 of the B.N.S.S., is empowered to quash criminal proceedings arising out of matrimonial disputes on the basis of an amicable settlement between the parties, notwithstanding the bar under Section 320 Cr.P.C., where such exercise is necessary to secure the ends of justice and prevent abuse of the process of the Court. In the present case, the parties have amicably resolved their matrimonial differences, have mutually agreed to dissolve their marriage by way of divorce, and the first motion proceedings under the Uniform Civil Code (U.C.C.) have also been completed. 12. The Hon'ble Supreme Court, in Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab, (2012) 10 SCC 303 and Narinder Singh vs. State of Punjab, (2014) 6 SCC 466, has laid down that criminal proceedings involving offences of a predominantly private nature, particularly those arising out of matrimonial disputes, may be quashed on the basis of a genuine and voluntary compromise between the parties, even where the offences are non-compoundable, provided that the Court is satisfied that the settlement is genuine and that the continuation of the criminal proceedings would serve no useful purpose and would not advance the cause of justice.

13. In the present case, this Court is satisfied that the compromise between the parties is voluntary, genuine and without any coercion. The complainant herself does not wish to prosecute the applicant any further. In the present case, this Court is satisfied that the compromise between the parties is voluntary, genuine and without any coercion, therefore, no fruitful purpose would be served to keep the criminal proceedings pending against the applicants.

14. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, as well as the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court, this Court finds that it is a fit case to exercise inherent powers to secure the ends of justice.

15. Accordingly, the compounding application (IA No. 1 of 2026) is allowed. The chargesheet, summoning order dated 21.07.2025 passed by learned 1st Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate, District Dehradun in Criminal Case No.5167 of 2025as well as the entire proceedings of the aforesaid criminal case are hereby quashed.

16. The present C-528 application stands allowed accordingly.

17. Pending applications, if any, shall stand disposed of accordingly.

Mamta

MA MTA RANI

Digitally signed by MAMTA RANI
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, ou=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, 2.5.4.20=6a81200 5bebfcf46f244f3e584af1449e430ef900bf09a6d67ebbd642671329b, postalCode=263001, st=Uttarakhand, serialNumber=5de1751a4f1d9cabfd54852c9e68911ca8b66dd26690a191648ab5d8dd004ef0, cn=MAMTA RANI
Date: 2026.11.05 17:27:29 +05'30'

(Alok Mahra, J.)
04.11.2026