SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			BA1/1888/2026 Sager --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Anuj Kumar Tygai, learned counsel for the applicant. 2. Mr. Rakesh Joshi, learned A.G.A. along with Mr. Manoj Bhatt, learned Brief Holder for the State. 3. Applicant-Sager, who is in judicial custody in connection with F.I.R./Case Crime No.60 of 2026, under Sections 303(2), 317(2), 317(5) of B.N.S. registered at Police Station Buggawala, District Haridwar has sought his release on bail. 4. Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that the applicant is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case; that, the applicant is neither named in the F.I.R. nor is there any eyewitness to the alleged incident; that, the alleged recovery of motorcycles is wholly fabricated and appears to be unnatural; that, despite the alleged recovery having been effected near a public

			highway, no independent public witness has been associated with the recovery proceedings to corroborate the prosecution version; and that, as per the prosecution case itself, the alleged recovery of motorcycles is a joint recovery from four accused persons, without any specific attribution of the recovery to the present applicant. 5. Per contra, learned State counsel would vehemently oppose the prayer for bail and submit that the applicant has a criminal history involving similar offences; that, eight motorcycles have allegedly been recovered from the possession of the applicant; and that, in view of the nature and gravity of the allegations and the applicant's criminal antecedents, he does not deserve to be enlarged on bail at this stage. 6. In reply, learned counsel for the applicant would further submit that no specific role has been attributed to the applicant, nor has any exclusive possession or specific pointing out by the applicant been established in respect of the motorcycle allegedly connected with the concerned police station. He would also submit that seven cases relating to the alleged theft of motorcycles have been shown against the applicant, out of which he has already been enlarged on bail in five cases; that, the applicant has been languishing in jail since 26.09.2026;

and that the trial is likely to take considerable time to reach its logical conclusion. Thus, the applicant be enlarged on bail.

7. Having considered the rival submissions advanced by learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the material available on record, this Court finds that the allegations against the applicant relate to the recovery of stolen motorcycles. The prosecution case, as presented, involves the alleged recovery of motorcycles from multiple accused persons. However, the applicant is also stated to have criminal antecedents involving similar offences, and the prosecution has specifically alleged the recovery of eight motorcycles from his possession. The fact that the applicant has been granted bail in some other cases does not, by itself, entitle him to bail in the present matter. Having regard to the nature of the allegations, the alleged recovery and the applicant's criminal antecedents, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the applicant at this stage. Accordingly, no case for grant of bail is made out.

8. The bail application is, therefore, rejected.

MAMTA RANI

(Alok Mahra, J.)
23.11.2026

Mamta

Digitally signed by MAMTA RANI
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, ou=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, 2.5.4.20=6a812005bebfcf46f244f3e584af1449e430ef900bf09a6d67ebbd642671329b, postalCode=263001, st=Uttarakhand, serialNumber=5de1751a4f1d9cabfd54852c9e68911ca8b66dd26690a191648ab5d8dd004ef0, cn=MAMTA RANI
Date: 2026.11.26 16:45:57 +05'30'