SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			WPMS/3417/2026 Rajendra Giri Alias Rajendra Kumar --Petitioner **Versus** State of Uttarakhand and others --Respondents **Hon'ble Siddhartha Sah, J.** Mr. S.S. Yadav, learned counsel for the petitioner. 2. Mr. Sudhir Kumar Nailwal, learned Standing Counsel assisted by Mr. Tarun Lakhera, learned Brief Holder for the State. 3. By means of the present petition, the petitioner has sought quashing of the order dated 08.10.2026, passed by Deputy Director, Consolidation/Collector, Haridwar so far concerned to the illegal allotment of land in favour of respondents and denial of share of the petitioner for which the petitioner is entitled and also sought mandamus to modify the order dated 08.10.2026 after giving the opportunity of hearing to the petitioner. 4. A perusal of the impugned order dated 08.10.2026, would reveal that, in fact, it is an order passed on a restoration application preferred by the petitioner Rajendra Giri alias Rajendra Kumar, by

			means of which a restoration of the order dated 06.12.2025, was sought. 5. Perusal of the order dated 06.12.2025 would reveal that by means of the said order dated 06.12.2025, the Deputy Director of Consolidation has, in fact, partially allowed the revision and has partially modified the appellate order passed by the Settlement Officer Consolidation, Haridwar at Roorkee, in Appeal No. 350 Surendra Kumar vs. Rakesh and others and Appeal No. 351, Rajendra Kumar vs. Surendra Kumar. 6. In such view of the matter, though the aforesaid judgment and order dated 06.12.2025, happens to be a final order in a revision, yet a restoration application was preferred on behalf of Rajendra Giri alias Rajendra Kumar, the petitioner herein. 7. In this context, reference needs to be made to the judgment of the full Bench of Hon'ble Allahabad High Court in the case of Smt. Shivraji and others vs. Deputy Director of Consolidation, Allahabad and others, reported in 1997 (88) RD 562, in which the Hon'ble High Court of Allahabad has, inter alia, held that it is not open for the consolidation authorities to review/recall their final orders passed in proceedings under the UP Consolidation of Holdings Act in exercise of inherent powers. 8. In such view of the matter, there is no error

found in the impugned order dated 08.10.2026, whereby inter alia the restoration application of the petitioner Rajendra Giri alias Rajendra Kumar, the petitioner herein, has also been rejected.

9. Since the restoration application preferred by the petitioner in the revision was itself not maintainable in view of the judgment in the case of Smt. Shivraji and others (supra), hence, it will be open for the petitioner to assail the main judgment/order dated 06.12.2025.

10. On this, learned counsel for the petitioner would very fairly submit that since the order dated 08.10.2026, has been wrongly impugned, and in fact, it is the order dated 06.12.2025, which has been passed on merits which ought to have been assailed before this court.

11. The Writ Petition is thus dismissed with the liberty to the petitioner to assail the order dated 06.12.2025.

(**Siddhartha Sah, J.**)
04.11.2026

Shiv/