SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			WPSB No.703/2026 Virendra Kumar Muljibhai Thakkar --Petitioner **Versus** Board of Governors and another --Respondents **Hon'ble Manoj Kumar Tiwari, A.C.J.** **Hon'ble Subhash Upadhyay, J.** Mr. Pradeep Kumar Chauhan, learned counsel for the petitioner. 2. Mr. Bhupesh Kandpal, learned counsel for the respondents. 3. Petitioner is serving as Teacher in G.B. Pant Institute of Engineering and Technology, Campus Ghurdauri, District Pauri Garhwal. He is aggrieved by a communication dated 28.10.2026 issued by Director of the aforesaid Institute, whereby petitioner was informed that since he would complete 60 years of age on 31.10.2026, therefore, he would stand relieved from the service of Institute. 4. The said communication is challenged by petitioner in this writ petition on the ground that, as per AICTE Regulations 2019, a teacher serving in an Engineering College should be permitted to continue in service until he attains the age of 65 years. Clause 2.12 of AICTE Regulations notified on 01.03.2019 is reproduced below:- "***2.12 Age of Superannuation*** *The age of superannuation of all faculty members*

			and Principals / Directors of institutions shall be 65 years. An extension of 5 years (till the attainment of 70 years of age) may be given to those faculty members who are physically fit, have written technical books, published papers and has average 360 feedback of more than 8 out of 10 indicating them being active during last 3 preceding years of service." 5. Learned counsel appearing for the Institute, however, submits that petitioner is not a regular employee and he was engaged on contract as teacher w.e.f. 01.08.2005; since petitioner was not having regular M. Tech Degree, therefore, he was not eligible for regular appointment and for this reason he never applied for regularization of his service. 6. It is further contended that AICTE Regulations become part of condition of service of a teacher serving in Government run engineering college only when the relevant provision of AICTE Regulations is incorporated in the service rules by the State Government. He submits that, as per service condition of teachers serving in the Institute, every teacher has to retire at the age of 60 years. 7. The Association of Teachers serving in the Institute had made a representation to the State Government to increase age of superannuation from 60 years to 65 years to bring it at par with age prescribed by AICTE Regulations, however, State Government has

			yet to consider this proposal. 8. Attention of this Court is drawn to representation made by petitioner on 29.10.2026, in which, he himself has stated in paragraph no.6(3) that joint representation submitted by teachers on 01.07.2026 may be placed before State Cabinet at the earliest and benefit of that decision may also be given to petitioner. 9. Annexure-8 leaves no room for doubt that petitioner himself is aware that employer / State Government has not increased age of superannuation to 65 years as provided in AICTE Regulations and merely because AICTE Regulations provide for 65 years as age of superannuation will not entitle petitioner to continue in service till 65 years. 10. As there is hardly any scope for interference in this matter, accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed. **(Subhash Upadhyay, J.)** **(Manoj Kumar Tiwari, A.C.J.)** 04.11.2026 04.11.2026 SS/PP