SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			C482/2377/2023 Bipin Kumar Jindal --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Prince Chauhan, Advocate for hte applicants. Mrs. Meenakshi Sharma, A.G.A. for the State of Uttarakhand. Mr. Dushyant Mainali, Advocate, holding brief of Mr. Shariq Khurshid, Advocate for respondent no.2. 2. Present C-528 application is filed with the prayer to set-aside/quash the summoning order dated 21.10.2023 and the entire proceedings of Criminal Case No.2451 of 2023, pending in the Court of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kashipur, District Udham Singh Nagar on the basis of compromise between the parties. 3. A compounding application (I.A. No.4 of 2026) has been filed in the matter wherein it is prayed to compound the offence between the parties. 4. Applicant nos.1 and 2 as well as respondent no.2 are present in Court today, while applicant no.3 joined the proceedings through Video Conferencing, who have been duly identified by their learned counsel. It is further submitted that respondent no.2 has already filed affidavits in support of the compounding application, affirming the factum of compromise arrived at between the parties. 5. It was jointly submitted by the parties that the disputes inter se them have been amicably

settled outside the Court. Respondent no.2 has categorically stated that, in view of the amicable settlement arrived at between the parties, she does not wish to pursue or prosecute the present proceedings against the applicants any further.

6. Learned counsel for the parties submits that applicants and respondent no.2 are neighbours and some dispute arose, which has resulted in the lodging of cross-F.I.R.s by the parties against each other. It is contended that the dispute is essentially personal in nature and, during the pendency of the present proceedings, the parties have amicably resolved their differences and arrived at an amicable settlement. Learned counsel further submits that, in view of the settlement so arrived at, the parties do not wish to pursue the dispute any further and no useful purpose would be served by allowing the criminal proceedings to continue, particularly when the parties have agreed to put an end to their inter se dispute.

7. Learned State Counsel vehemently opposed the compounding application.

8. Hon'ble Supreme Court, in a catena of its judgments, has observed that in cases where because of the compromise arrived at between the parties, possibility of conviction is remote and bleak, the High Court may quash the criminal proceedings as continuation of the same would cause great prejudice and injustice to the accused.

9. Following the aforesaid ratio, present compounding application is allowed. The offences between the parties are permitted to be compounded. As a result, entire proceedings of Criminal Case No.2451 of 2023, pending in the Court of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kashipur, District Udham Singh Nagar, are hereby quashed.

10. C-528 application stands disposed of.

(Alok Mahra, J.)
04.11.2026

Arpan

ARPAN JAISWAL