SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			UKHC010196982026 **C528/2460/2026** Jitendra Kumar Alias Jittu --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Naveen Singh Bisht, Advocate for the applicant. 2. Mr. V.S. Pal, A.G.A. for the State. 3. Mr. Yogesh Upadhayay, Advocate for the complainant/respondent nos. 2 and 3. 4. The present criminal misc. application is filed with a prayer to set-aside the chargesheet, summoning/cognizance order as well as the entire proceedings of Special Sessions Trial No. 240 of 2025, under Sections 137(2) of BNS and Section 16/17 of POCSO Act, pending in the court of learned FTC/Addl. Sessions Judge/Special Court (POCSO) Act, Kashipur, District U.S. Nagar, on the basis of compromise between the parties. 5. In this case, a complaint was filed by respondent no. 2 before the Police Station-Kashipur, District U.S. Nagar alleging therein that his daughter, who is a minor, is missing from the house. During investigation, the victim was recovered in the company of the present applicant on

			29.10.2024. After investigation, chargesheet was filed against the applicant. 6. Now, Compounding Application is filed in the matter wherein it is prayed to compound the offences between the parties. 7. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the parties have amicably settled their dispute and have entered into a compromise. 8. Both the parties are present before this Court and are duly identified by their respective counsel. Parties have also filed their respective affidavits stating the facts of compromise between them. 9. The Court interacted with the parties. Upon interaction, it is submitted that now complainant has amicably settled their dispute with the applicant and they do not want to pursue with the criminal proceedings against the applicant. It is further submitted that after attaining the marriageable age, applicant and victim have solemnized their marriage on 27.07.2026. 10. At this stage, learned State Counsel raised a preliminary objection to the effect that the offences sought to be compounded are non-compoundable. 11. However, the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of B.S. Joshi and others Vs. State of Haryana reported in (2003) 4 S.C.C., Page 675, has permitted compounding of non-compoundable offences with the permission of Court. 12. Furthermore, Hon'ble Supreme Court, in a catena of its judgments, has observed that in cases where because of the compromise arrived at between the parties,

			possibility of conviction is remote and bleak, the High Court may quash the criminal proceedings as continuation of the same would cause great prejudice and injustice to the accused. 13. Following the aforesaid ratio, the present compounding application is allowed. The offences between the parties are permitted to be compounded. As a result, the entire proceedings of Special Sessions Trial No. 240 of 2025, under Sections 137(2) of BNS and Section 16/17 of POCSO Act, pending in the court of learned FTC/Addl. Sessions Judge/Special Court (POCSO) Act, Kashipur, District U.S. Nagar, are hereby quashed *qua* the applicant. 14. Accordingly, the present criminal misc. application stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms. **(Alok Mahra J.)** 03.11.2026 Ujjwal