S. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			SABA/37/2026 Imtiyaz --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Pankaj Purohit, J.** Mr. Azad Khokher and Mr. Anchit Khokher, learned counsel for the applicant. 2. Mr. Tumul K. Nainwal, learned AGA for the State. 3. Mr. Neeraj Garg, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Mr. Amit Tyagi, learned counsel for the informant. 4. This is the second anticipatory bail application filed by the applicant-Imtiyaz. The present second anticipatory bail application is being sought by the applicant in connection with FIR No.667 of 2025, dated 04.12.2025, registered under Sections 318(4), 336(2), 338, 340(2) and 61(2) of the BNS, 2023, at Police Station Patel Nagar, District Dehradun. 5. From perusal of the record, it transpires that the first bail application was dismissed as withdrawn, while granting liberty to the applicant to surrender before the Court on 15.09.2026. It was also observed that, in case the applicant surrenders and files his bail application, the same shall be considered as expeditiously as possible, without unnecessary delay, in accordance with law. 6. From perusal of the order, it also transpires that learned counsel for the applicant had argued the matter at length before the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, however, the Co-ordinate Bench did not find favour with the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the

applicant. The aforesaid order was passed directing the applicant to surrender before the Court of competent jurisdiction. Instead of complying the order dated 20.08.2026 passed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in ABA No.239 of 2026, *Imtiyaz vs. State of Uttarakhand*, applicant approached the Hon'ble Apex Court by filing an SLP against the said order. The SLP was dismissed as not pressed with liberty to the applicant to go back to the High Court.

7. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the FIR, this Court is of the firm view that the earlier Co-ordinate Bench of this Court had granted liberty to the applicant to surrender before the Court, but the said order was not complied by the applicant, who instead approached the Hon'ble Apex Court. Considering the aforesaid background, this Court does not find any merit in the present application. Accordingly, the anticipatory bail application is dismissed.

8. Learned counsel for the applicant made a statement at the Bar that applicant has very recently undergone an angioplasty procedure on 02/03.11.2026 at G.B. Pant Institute, New Delhi.

9. In the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case, 21 days' time is granted to the applicant to surrender before the Court concerned and seek bail in accordance with law.

(Pankaj Purohit, J.)
05.11.2026

AK

AVNEET T KAUR