SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			UKHC010196092026 **C528/2450/2026** Ankur Kumar Chawla --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Ms. Sanyukta Ray, Advocate for the applicant. 2. Mr. Dinesh Chauhan, A.G.A. for the State. 3. Mr. M.K. Ray, Advocate for the complainant/respondent no.2. 4. The present criminal misc. application is filed with a prayer to set-aside the chargesheet, summoning/cognizance order as well as the entire proceedings of Criminal Case No. 914 of 2026, under Sections 406, 420, 504 and 506 of IPC, pending in the court of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Rudrapur, District U.S. Nagar, on the basis of compromise between the parties. 5. In this case, a complaint was filed by respondent no. 2, in which, it was alleged that applicant induced him that he would send his son to Australia for higher studies and would secure other necessary documents for Rs. 11,06,000/-, but, later on, it was found that *viza* was forged and, as such, the son of the applicant could not go to Australia. 6. Now, Compounding Application is filed in the matter wherein it is prayed to compound

			the offences between the parties. 7. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the parties have amicably settled their dispute and have entered into a compromise. It is further submitted that the entire money has been returned back by the applicant to the complainant. 8. Both the parties are present before this Court and are duly identified by their respective counsel. Parties have also filed their respective affidavits stating the facts of compromise between them. 9. The Court interacted with the parties. Upon interaction, it is submitted that now complainant has amicably settled his dispute with the applicant and he does not want to pursue with the criminal proceedings against the applicant. Applicant submits that he has returned back the entire disputed amount to the complainant. He further submitted that he himself was cheated as he is a sub-agent. He further submits that he is graduate in technology and currently working as a counsellor. 10. At this stage, learned State Counsel raised a preliminary objection to the effect that the offences sought to be compounded are non-compoundable. 11. However, the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of B.S. Joshi and others Vs. State of Haryana reported in (2003) 4 S.C.C., Page 675, has permitted compounding of non-compoundable offences with the permission of Court. 12. Furthermore, Hon'ble Supreme Court, in a catena of its judgments, has observed that in cases where because of the compromise arrived at between the parties, possibility of conviction is remote and bleak, the High Court may quash the criminal proceedings as continuation of the

			same would cause great prejudice and injustice to the accused. 13. Following the aforesaid ratio, the present compounding application is allowed. The offences between the parties are permitted to be compounded. As a result, the entire proceedings of Criminal Case No. 914 of 2026, under Sections 406, 420, 504 and 506 of IPC, pending in the court of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Rudrapur, District U.S. Nagar, are hereby quashed *qua* the applicant. 14. Accordingly, the present criminal misc. application stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms. **(Alok Mahra J.)** 02.11.2026 Ujjwal