| SL. No. | Date | Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures | COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | **UKHC010195822026**<br>**C528/2448/2026**<br><br>Himanshu Arora And Others<br>--Applicants<br>**Versus**<br>State Of Uttarakhand<br>--Respondent<br><br>**Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.**<br><br>Mr. Nikita Kandpal, Advocate for the applicants.<br><br>2. Mr. Dinesh Chauhan, A.G.A. for the State.<br><br>3. Mr. Kaushal Sah Jagati, Advocate for the complainant/respondent no.2.<br><br>4. The present criminal misc. application is filed with a prayer to set-aside the chargesheet, summoning/cognizance order as well as the entire proceedings of Criminal Case No. 3859 of 2022, under Sections 498-A, 323, 504 and 506 of IPC and Section 3/4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, pending in the court of learned Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kashipur, District U.S. Nagar, on the basis of compromise between the parties.<br><br>5. Now, Compounding Application is filed in the matter wherein it is prayed to compound the offences between the parties.<br><br>6. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the parties have amicably settled their dispute and have entered into a compromise. It is further submitted that as per the settlement arrived at between the parties, applicant no. 1 shall pay Rs. 20 Lakhs to the respondent no. 2 alongwith the entire stree dhan.<br><br>7. Both the parties are present before this Court and are duly identified by their respective counsel. Parties have also filed their respective affidavits |

stating the facts of compromise between them.

8. The Court interacted with the parties. Upon interaction, it is submitted that now complainant has amicably settled her dispute with the applicants and she does not want to pursue with the criminal proceedings against the applicants. It is further submitted that first motion has already been moved under Section 27 of UCC and as per the settlement, Rs. 10 Lakhs have been paid to respondent no. 2, which fact is admitted by respondent no. 2.

9. At this stage, learned State Counsel raised a preliminary objection to the effect that the offences sought to be compounded are non-compoundable.

10. However, the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of B.S. Joshi and others Vs. State of Haryana reported in (2003) 4 S.C.C., Page 675, has permitted compounding of non-compoundable offences with the permission of Court.

11. Furthermore, Hon'ble Supreme Court, in a catena of its judgments, has observed that in cases where because of the compromise arrived at between the parties, possibility of conviction is remote and bleak, the High Court may quash the criminal proceedings as continuation of the same would cause great prejudice and injustice to the accused.

12. Following the aforesaid ratio, the present compounding application is allowed. The offences between the parties are permitted to be compounded. As a result, the entire proceedings of Criminal Case No. 3859 of 2022, under Sections 498-A, 323, 504 and 506 of IPC and Section 3/4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, pending in the court of learned Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kashipur, District U.S. Nagar, are hereby quashed *qua* the applicants.

13. Accordingly, the present criminal misc. application stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

**(Alok Mahra J.)**
02.11.2026

Ujjwal