SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			BA1/1861/2026 Farman --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Gaurav Singh, Advocate for the applicant. Mr. V.S. Pal, A.G.A. for the State of Uttarakhand. 2. This first bail application has been moved by the applicant seeking regular bail in F.I.R./ Case Crime No.358 of 2026, under Section 3/5/ 11 of Uttarakhand Protection of Cow Progeny Act, registered at Police Station Manglour, District Haridwar. 3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case and has been languishing in judicial custody since 18.09.2026. It is further submitted that the applicant was neither apprehended at the spot nor recovered from the alleged place of occurrence. His implication in the present case is based solely upon the statement made by the co-accused, namely, Javed @ Sonu. Learned counsel submits that no independent evidence has been brought on record to establish the applicant's involvement in the alleged offence. He, therefore, prays that the applicant may be enlarged on bail. 4. Per contra, learned State Counsel has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail and submits that, considering the nature and gravity of the allegations, the applicant is not entitled to be enlarged on bail. 5. Having considered the submissions

			advanced by learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the material available on record, this Court finds that the applicant was not apprehended at the spot and his implication in the present case is primarily based upon the statement of the co-accused, Javed @ Sonu. The applicant has been in judicial custody since 18.09.2026. Further, having regard to the nature of the allegations, the material collected during investigation and the role attributed to the applicant, this Court is of the view that further incarceration of the applicant is not warranted at this stage. 6. Accordingly, without expressing any opinion as to the final merits of the case, this Court is of the view that applicant deserves bail at this stage. 7. Accordingly, the bail application is allowed. 8. Let the applicant be released on bail, on executing personal bond and furnishing two reliable sureties, each of like amount, to the satisfaction of Court concerned. **(Alok Mahra, J.)** 02.11.2026 *Arpan* ARPAN JAISWAL Digitally signed by ARPAN JAISWAL DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, ou=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, 2.5.4.20=ed0d4d8a389fe4f787f1c36dc2399d4c0f4393e94e9a0fdd3 serialNumber=3b6f7c79c1d8b43c82b1193ab0c9d34d, ou=ARPAN JAISWAL Date: 2026.11.02 19:11:05 +05'30'

Digitally signed by ARPAN JAISWAL
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, ou=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, 2.5.4.20=ed0d4d8a389fe4f787f1c36dc2399d4c0f4393e94e9a0fdd3 serialNumber=3b6f7c79c1d8b43c82b1193ab0c9d34d, ou=ARPAN JAISWAL
Date: 2026.11.02 19:11:05 +05'30'