| SL. No. | Date | Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures | COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | **BA1/1865/2026**<br><br>Amit Alias Bhola Alias Amrit Mallik<br>--Applicant<br>**Versus**<br>State Of Uttarakhand<br>--Respondent<br><br>**Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.**<br><br>Mr. Vikas Kumar Guglani, Advocate for the applicant.<br>Mr. V.S. Pal, A.G.A. for the State of Uttarakhand.<br><br>2. This first bail application has been moved by the applicant seeking regular bail in F.I.R./ Case Crime No.242 of 2025, under Section 109, 190, 191(2), 3(5) of B.N.S., 2023, registered at Police Station Transit Camp, District Udham Singh Nagar.<br><br>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case and has been languishing in judicial custody since 08.10.2026. It is further submitted that although the applicant is named in the F.I.R., the co-accused, namely, Akash, who is alleged to have played a similar role in the commission of the alleged offence, has already been enlarged on bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Court in BA1 No. 2308 of 2025, vide order dated 01.12.2025. Learned counsel, therefore, submits that the case of the present applicant stands on an equal footing with that of the aforesaid co-accused and no distinguishing circumstance has been brought on record to warrant a different treatment. It is, thus, contended that the applicant is also entitled to be enlarged on bail on the ground of parity.<br><br>4. Per contra, learned State Counsel has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail; however, the factum of grant of bail to the aforesaid co- |

accused could not be disputed.

5. Having considered the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the material available on record, this Court finds that the co-accused, namely, Akash, who is stated to have a similar role in the alleged incident, has already been enlarged on bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 01.12.2025 passed in BA1 No. 2308 of 2025. The applicant has been in judicial custody since 08.10.2026. Further, no distinguishing circumstance has been pointed out by learned State Counsel which may persuade this Court to take a different view in the case of the present applicant.

5. In the facts and circumstances of the case, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the allegations and keeping in view the principle of parity, this Court is of the considered view that the applicant has made out a case for grant of bail.

6. Accordingly, the bail application is allowed.

7. Let the applicant be released on bail, on executing personal bond and furnishing two reliable sureties, each of like amount, to the satisfaction of Court concerned.

**(Alok Mahra, J.)**
02.11.2026

*Arpan*

ARPAN JAISWAL

Digitally signed by ARPAN JAISWAL
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, ou=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, 2.5.4.20=ed0c68a5f8f5e41f837c2d6c2284c4198536549c3a20 qbdbf7393398f9fe45ba3e, postalCode=263001, st=UTTARAKHAND, serialNumber=060FC170328EAE5DE210D6BD9D454C5109CB 9874463D1E9D59AA2AA2CJCEAAE, cn=ARPAN JAISWAL
Date: 2026.11.02 19:13:01 +05'30'