S. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			WPCRL/2229/2026 Mariyam & another --Petitioners **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand & others --Respondents **Hon'ble Pankaj Purohit, J.** Mr. Subhash Chand Burman, learned counsel for the petitioners. 2. Ms. Sweta Badola Dobhal, learned Brief Holder for the State. 3. Petitioners have filed the present writ petition seeking protection from the private respondent nos.4 to 6 who are relatives of petitioner no.1-Mariyam. 4. It is the case of the petitioners that petitioner no.1 belongs to the Muslim religion, while petitioner no.2 belongs to the Hindu religion. They fell in love a long time ago after a courtship of almost two years, and at present, they are living in a live-in relationship. They decided to marry, but the parents of petitioner no.1, owing to the difference in religion, were not agreeable to their relationship. Thus, they are facing threats to their life and liberty from the private respondents. 5. Both the petitioners are present before this Court. Both are major. Upon interaction, they stated that they are living in a live-in relationship on their own free will and without any coercion. They have applied for registration of their live-in relationship before the Registrar under the provisions of the UCC, 2024 on 02.11.2026, and handed over to this Court

the payment receipt of depositing of Rs. 550/- as the registration fee. The same is taken on record. Petitioner no.1- Mariyam stated that she has received basic education at a Madrasa in Lucknow. Petitioner no.2- Monu Baliyan stated that he is a tractor driver and also performs other agricultural work for Mr. Sanjay Chaudhary, earning Rs. 25,000/- per month. They further stated that they are facing threats at the hands of the private respondents.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have filed a representation to SSP, Haridwar on 28.10.2026, annexure-5 to the writ petition and if a direction is issued to respondent no.2/SSP, Haridwar to decide the representation of the petitioners and to provide security and protection to them, the ends of justice would be met.

7. In such view of the matter, writ petition is disposed of finally. The Senior Superintendent of Police, District Haridwar, is directed to consider the representation of the petitioners dated 28.10.2026, annexed as annexure-5 to the writ petition, in accordance with law, and assess the threat perception to the petitioners at the hands of private respondents. If required, protection for the life and liberty of the petitioners shall be provided for such period as the concerned S.S.P. deems appropriate.

(Pankaj Purohit, J.)
03.11.2026

AK