SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			UKHC010193282026 **C528/2399/2026** Amarjeet Singh --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Amit Kapri, Advocate for the applicant. 2. Mr. Himanshu Sain, Brief Holder for the State. 3. Mr. Chandramauli Shah, Advocate for the complainant/respondent no. 2. 4. The present criminal misc. application is filed with a prayer to set-aside the chargesheet, summoning/cognizance order as well as the entire proceedings of Criminal Case No. 18893 of 2026, under Sections 106(1) and 281 of BNS, pending in the court of learned 1st ACJM, Dehradun, on the basis of compromise between the parties. 5. In this case, an FIR was lodged by respondent no. 2 alleging therein when his father went from Vikasnagar to Dehradun and reached I.S.B.T., Dehradun, a bus of Chandigarh Roadways driven by the applicant hit his father, due to which, his father died at the spot. 6. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that there was no eye-witness to the

			incident, who witnessed the vehicle to be driven rashly and negligently by the applicant, which caused death of complainant's father. 7. Now, Compounding Application is filed in the matter wherein it is prayed to compound the offences between the parties. 8. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the parties have amicably settled their dispute and have entered into a compromise. 9. Applicant and Respondent no.2 are present before this Court and are duly identified by their respective counsel. Parties have also filed their respective affidavits stating the facts of compromise between them. 10. The Court interacted with the parties. Upon interaction, it is submitted that complainant has amicably settled his dispute with the applicant and does not want to pursue with the criminal proceedings against the applicant. 11. At this stage, learned State Counsel raised a preliminary objection to the effect that the offences sought to be compounded are non-compoundable. 12. However, the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of B.S. Joshi and others Vs. State of Haryana reported in (2003) 4 S.C.C., Page 675, has permitted compounding of non-compoundable offences with the permission of Court. 13. Furthermore, Hon'ble Supreme Court, in a catena of its judgments, has observed that in cases where because of the compromise arrived at between the parties, possibility of conviction is remote and bleak, the High Court may quash the criminal proceedings as continuation of the same would cause great

			prejudice and injustice to the accused. 14. Following the aforesaid ratio, the present compounding application is allowed. The offences between the parties are permitted to be compounded. As a result, the entire proceedings of Criminal Case No. 18893 of 2026, under Sections 106(1) and 281 of BNS, pending in the court of learned 1st ACJM, Dehradun, are hereby quashed *qua* the applicant. 15. Accordingly, the present criminal misc. application stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms. **(Alok Mahra J.)** 30.10.2026 Ujjwal
