| SL. No. | Date | Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures | COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | **WPCRL/2205/2026**<br>Sadar Singh Rawat --Petitioner<br>**Versus**<br>State Of Uttarakhand and others<br>--Respondents<br>**Hon'ble Pankaj Purohit, J.**<br>Mr. Deepak Petshali, learned counsel for the petitioner.<br>**2.** Mr. B.C. Joshi, learned AGA for the State.<br>**3.** The petitioner has filed the present writ petition for directing respondent nos.3 and 4 to assess the petitioner's apprehension of danger and provide appropriate police protection.<br>**4.** On perusal of the record, it transpires that that the proceedings have been initiated by petitioner under the provisions of the Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act, 2007 (*hereinafter to be referred to as 'the Act'*), before the Prescribed Authority/SDM Rudraprayag against his son Rupendra Singh Rawat - respondent no.5 in the writ petition. The said application filed by the petitioner was allowed by the Prescribed Authority which directed respondent no.5-Rupendra Singh Rawat to vacate the self acquired property/building of the petitioner Sadar Singh Rawat and handover the vacant possession to him.<br>5. The matter was challenged in appeal by respondent no.5- Rupendra Singh Rawat before the Appellate Authority/District Magistrate Rudraprayag in Appeal No. 01 |

of 2025 (*Sri Rupendra Singh Rawat vs Sadar Singh Rawat*). The appeal filed by respondent no.5 was dismissed and the order passed by Prescribed Authority was affirmed.

6. In between, the daughter in law and minor children of respondent no.5-Rupendra Singh Rawat approached this Court by filing WPMS No. 3206 of 2026 Reeta Rawat and others vs. State of Uttarakhand and others, stating therein that the order is against Rupendra Singh Rawat who has vacated the property; and that the petitioners in that petition and children are staying in the house of Sadar Singh Rawat (father in law and grandfather); the children are pursuing their education and therefore they should not be disturbed by the authority concerned for vacating the premises.

7. This Court vide order dated 15.10.2026 passed a detailed order in WPMS No.3206 of 2026 and directed that till the next date of listing, no coercive action shall be taken against the petitioners.

8. Now the present writ petition has been filed, instead of filing the counter affidavit in WPMS No. 3206 of 2026.

9. It appears that the present petition has been filed to circumvent the interim order passed in favour of the respondent no.6 Reeta Devi and her minor children in WPMS No.3206 of 2026.

10. There is no ground for interference. The writ petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

**(Pankaj Purohit, J.)**
28.10.2026

Nahid