SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			BA1/1825/2026 Sameer --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Faizan Ali, learned counsel for the applicant. 2. Mr. Pradeep Lohani, learned A.G.A. for the State. 3. Applicant-Sameer, who is in judicial custody in connection with Case Crime/F.I.R. No. 891 of 2026 registered at Police Station-Laksar, District Haridwar for the offences punishable under Sections 3/5/11 of the Uttarakhand Cow Progeny Act, 2007 has sought his release on bail. 4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record. 5. Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that the applicant has falsely been implicated in the present case and has not committed the alleged offence; that, the applicant has been implicated solely on the basis of

			information allegedly received from a *Mukhbir Khaas*, who disclosed the name of the present applicant, and that no independent material has been brought on record to substantiate the said allegation; that, the applicant has been implicated merely on the ground that a previous case of a similar nature was registered against him. Furthermore, the alleged place of recovery is an open and accessible place and does not belong to or fall within the exclusive possession of the applicant. 6. Learned counsel would further submit that, as of date, no report of the Forensic Science Laboratory (FSL) has been brought on record to establish that the alleged recovered meat belonged to cow progeny. It is further contended that the applicant is languishing in judicial custody since 06.09.2026; that, there is no likelihood of the applicant tampering with the prosecution evidence or absconding, as they are permanent resident of District Haridwar. 7. Per contra, learned State counsel would vehemently oppose the prayer for bail. However, he does not dispute the fact that the applicant is languishing in jail since 06.09.2026. 8. Having considered the rival submissions advanced by learned

			counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the material available on record, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court is of the view that the applicant has made out a case for grant of bail. 9. Accordingly, the bail application is allowed. 10. Let the applicants be released on bail upon furnishing a personal bond and two reliable sureties, each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the court concerned. **(Alok Mahra J.)** 29.10.2026 Mamta