SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			BA1/1821/2026 Harjaap Singh Alias J P Sandhu --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Vikash Singh Yadav, learned counsel for the applicant. 2. Mr. Pradeep Lohani, learned A.G.A for the State. 3. Applicant- Harjaap Singh Alias J.P. Sandhu, who is in judicial custody in connection with First Information Report/Case Crime No. 100 of 2026 registered P.S. I.T.I., District Udham Singh Nagar, under Sections 8/20/29 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 has sought his release on bail. 4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record. 5. Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that the applicant is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case; that, applicant has been implicated solely on the basis of the confessional statement of the co-accused, who was arrested at the spot and from whose possession 3.17 kg of ganja was allegedly recovered. He would further submit that two other cases under the N.D.P.S.

			Act are pending against the applicant, wherein the applicant is facing trial, and that the present case has been registered against the applicant without any recovery of contraband from his possession. 6. Learned counsel would further submit that the applicant is in judicial custody since 09.10.2026; that no contraband has been recovered from his possession; and that the trial is not likely to conclude in the near future; that, the applicant is a permanent resident of District Udham Singh Nagar and, thus, there is no likelihood of her absconding and on these grounds, learned counsel prays that the applicant be enlarged on bail. 7. Per contra, learned State counsel would vehemently oppose the prayer for bail. However, he does not dispute that the co-accused, from whose possession the contraband was allegedly recovered, has been granted bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 27.07.2026. 8. Having considered the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the material available on record, this Court finds that the alleged recovery of 3.17 kg of ganja was made from the possession of the co-accused and not from the present applicant. The applicant appears to have been implicated primarily on the basis of the statement of the co-accused, and the allegation against the applicant is under Section 29 of the N.D.P.S. Act. It is also relevant to note that the co-

accused, from whose possession the contraband was allegedly recovered, has already been granted bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 27.07.2026.

9. Having regard to the aforesaid circumstances, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court is of the view that the applicant has made out a case for grant of bail. Accordingly, the bail application is allowed on the following conditions:-

(a) The applicant shall execute a personal bond and furnish two reliable sureties in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial court.

(b) The applicant shall not leave the country without prior permission of the court.

(c) The applicant shall appear before the investigating officer as and when required and cooperate with the investigation.

(d) The applicant shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses, either directly or indirectly and shall not indulge in any criminal activity while on bail.

(e) The applicant shall surrender his passport, if any, to the court or to the investigating agency, to preclude risk of absconding.

11. The bail granted to the applicant shall be liable to be cancelled in the event of any violation of the aforesaid conditions or if the applicant is found to have misused the liberty granted to him.

(Alok Mahra J.)
29.10.2026

Mamta