SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			BA1/1812/2026 Dheerendra Kumar --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. S.R.S. Gill, learned counsel for the applicant. 2. Mr. Pratiroop Pande, learned A.G.A. for the State. 3. Applicant-Dheerendra Kumar, who is in judicial custody in connection with Case Crime/F.I.R. No. 304 of 2026 registered at Police Station-Bazpur, District Udham Singh Nagar for the offences punishable under Sections 109(1), 115(2), 351(3), 352, 3(5) of B.N.S. has sought his release on bail. 4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record. 5. Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that, as per the prosecution case, on 23.08.2026, the informant went to his in-laws' house to bring back his wife, but they refused to send her with him. Thereafter, the informant contacted the present applicant, who had acted as a mediator

			in their marriage, and a heated conversation took place between them over the phone. It is further alleged that, on the same day, the applicant, along with four co-accused persons, went to the informant's house, assaulted him and thereafter opened fire, in which one Rishipal sustained injuries and was taken to the hospital. 6. Learned counsel would further submit that the applicant has falsely been implicated in the present case; that, although he has been named in the F.I.R. but no specific role of assaulting the injured or opening fire has been attributed to him; that, the allegations regarding the use of firearms are directed against the co-accused persons, and no specific allegation of firing has been levelled against the applicant and this fact has already been come in the rejection order of the court below. It is also submitted that the injuries sustained by the injured are simple in nature. 7. Learned counsel would further submit that the applicant is in judicial custody since 27.08.2026; that, he has no criminal antecedents and is a permanent resident of District Udham Singh Nagar; that, there is no likelihood of his absconding or tampering with the prosecution evidence; that, the trial is likely to take considerable time to

			conclude, therefore, the applicant may be enlarged on bail. 9. On the previous date of hearing, learned State counsel was directed to obtain instructions regarding the nature of injuries sustained by the injured. In compliance thereof, learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that the injuries sustained by the injured are simple in nature; that, the fact that the applicant is in judicial custody since 27.08.2026 is also not disputed. 10. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the material available on record, this Court finds that, although the applicant has been named in the F.I.R., no specific allegation of firing or causing firearm injuries has been attributed to him. The allegations regarding the use of firearms are primarily directed against the co-accused persons and that the injuries sustained by the injured are simple in nature. This Court is of the view that the applicant has made out a case for grant of bail. 10. Accordingly, the bail application is allowed. 11. Let the applicant be released on bail upon furnishing a personal bond and two reliable sureties, each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the court concerned, subject to the

following conditions:

(i) The applicants shall not, directly or indirectly, threaten, induce or influence any person acquainted with the facts of the case.

(ii) the applicant shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence or influence any witness.

(iii) the applicant shall cooperate with the investigation and trial and regularly appear before the Trial Court, unless exempted.

(v) the applicant shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court concerned.

(vi) They shall furnish their current residential addresses and mobile numbers to the Investigating Officer/Court concerned and promptly intimate any change therein.

12. In case of breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the prosecution shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail granted to the applicant.

(Alok Mahra, J.)
04.11.2026

Mamta