SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			UKHC010191322026 **BA1/1810/2026** Roshan --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Jai Krishna Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant. Mr. S.C. Dumka, learned A.G.A. assisted by Mr. Nikhil Bisht, learned Brief Holder for the State of Uttarakhand. 2. The present bail application has been filed by the applicant, who is in judicial custody in connection with FIR No. 0008 of 2026, registered at Police Station Rani Pokhari, District Dehradun, under Sections 137(2) and 65(1) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (BNS), read with Sections 5(l) and 6 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 (POCSO Act). The matter is registered as Special Sessions Trial No. 30 of 2026, State v. Roshan, and is pending before the learned Special Judge (POCSO)/Additional District Judge/FTC, Dehradun, District Dehradun. 3. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and learned State Counsel and perused the record. 4. As per the prosecution case, the father of the victim lodged a complaint on 26.11.2026, alleging that the victim had been missing since the evening of 25.11.2026. The victim was subsequently recovered on 28.11.2026 from the company of the applicant. Upon completion of the investigation, a charge-sheet was filed against the applicant.

			5. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is 22 years of age and that the applicant and the victim had been in a relationship for several years. It is further submitted that the victim has been examined as PW-1 and, in her testimony, stated that she had accompanied the applicant to his village of her own accord. Learned counsel further submits that, according to the prosecution material, the victim was more than 17 years but less than 18 years of age at the time of the alleged incident. 6. Per contra, learned State Counsel has opposed the bail application. 7. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the material available on record, particularly the statement of the victim recorded as PW-1, wherein she has stated that she accompanied the applicant of her own accord, and considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is of the view that the applicant is entitled to be enlarged on bail. 8. Accordingly, the bail application is allowed. 9. Let the applicant be released on bail upon furnishing a personal bond and two reliable sureties, each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the court concerned. 10. It is made clear that the observations made hereinabove are confined to the adjudication of the present bail application and shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case. 11. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed of accordingly. **(Alok Mahra, J.)** 29-10-2026 SB