HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition (M/S) No.3320 of 2026

29 October, 2026

Ujjwal Private Industrial Training Institute --Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & others - -Respondents

Presence:-
Mr. Ajay Joshi, learned counsel for the petitioner.
Mr. Piyush Tiwari, learned counsel for respondent nos.1 to 3
Ms. Mamta Bisht, learned Deputy Advocate General for the State/respondent nos.4, 5, 6 & 8.

Hon'ble Pankaj Purohit, J.

Petitioner is an institute which imparts industrial training to students. Petitioner imparted industrial training to 105 students for a two-year course (2024–2026). According to petitioner, the course was successfully completed, and the results of all 105 students of the petitioner-institute have also been declared, but the mark-sheets have not been supplied to the students.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner made a representation to respondent no.3 on 27.09.2026, requesting therein that mark-sheets be provided to the students who have completed the two-year course (2024–2026) at the institute. However, the said representation is still pending decision, which is causing hardship to the students.

3. Petitioner has filed the present writ petition seeking the following reliefs:–

> *"(i) Issue writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing respondent no.3 to enable the download option of the mark-sheet/certificate of the 105 CTS trainees of 2nd year (two-year trades admitted in*

2024 in petitioner Institute and appeared in All India Trade Test held on 09.07.2026 and 10.07.2026) on SIDH portal or in the alternative, direct the respondent no.3 to issue a mark-sheet/certificate to the 105 CTS trainees of 2nd year (two-year trades admitted in 2024 in petitioner Institute and appeared in All India Trade Test held on 09.07.2026 and 10.07.2026).

(ii) Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of certiorari quashing the observation report (annexure no.4, page no.26-40) prepared with respect to alleged inspection carried out at petitioner Institute. "

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that if a direction is issued to respondent no.3 to decide the representation of the petitioner expeditiously, within a stipulated time, the ends of justice would be served.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection if such a direction is issued by this Court.

6. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of finally. Respondent no.3 is directed to decide the representation dated 27.09.2026, annexure-11 to the writ petition, expeditiously, but not later than fifteen days from the date of production of a certified copy of this order.

7. Pending application, if any, stands disposed of accordingly.

(Pankaj Purohit, J.)
29.10.2026

AK

AVNÉ ET KAUR