SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			WPSB/687/2026 Avinash Chamoli --------Petitioner **Versus** Vice Chancellor Hemwati Nandan Bahuguna and others -------Respondents **Hon'ble Manoj Kumar Tiwari, A.C.J.** **Hon'ble Subhash Upadhyay, J.** 1. Mr. Sandeep Kothari, learned counsel for the petitioner. 2. Dr. Kartikey Hari Gupta, learned counsel for the respondents. 3. Petitioner was granted pay-scale of ₹ 57,700/- plus D.A. vide order dated 04.06.2025 on the recommendation made by a Committee, headed by Dean, School of Management, H.N.B. Garhwal, University. The order dated 04.06.2025 has been cancelled by Registrar of the University, vide order dated 07.04.2026. Thus, feeling aggrieved, petitioner has approached this Court. 4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is serving as Assistant Professor in H.N.B., Garhwal University since July 2005 and pursuant to order passed by Coordinate Bench of this Court, petitioner made representation, which was considered by a Committee consisting of senior faculty members of the University and on recommendation of the Committee, pay-scale of ₹ 57,700/- was sanctioned to the petitioner, vide order dated 04.06.2026. Thus, it is contended that the benefit, which was granted on the recommendation of the Committee, could not have

been unilaterally withdrawn by the impugned order dated 07.04.2026.

5. It is further contended that petitioner was not given any notice or opportunity of hearing and the impugned order has been passed in abject disregard of principles of natural justice. Averment to this effect is made in para-41 of the writ petition.

6. Dr. Kartikey Hari Gupta, learned counsel appearing for the respondent-University concedes that petitioner was not heard before passing the impugned cancellation order.

7. Law is well settled that any order which entails evil and civil consequences to a person can only be passed after affording opportunity of hearing. Since that was not done in the instant case and the impugned order entails civil consequences to the petitioner, therefore, we allow the writ petition and set aside the impugned cancellation order dated 07.04.2026.

8. Mr. Kartikey Hari Gupta, learned counsel for the University, assures that decision, if any, in the matter, shall taken by the Executive Council.

9. The competent authority is at liberty to pass fresh order in the matter, but only after providing reasonable opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

(Subhash Upadhyay, J.) (Manoj Kumar Tiwari, A.C.J.)

28.10.2026

Rajni/KK