SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			WPCRL/2176/2026 Ujjwal Kashyap and another --Petitioners **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand and others --Respondents **Hon'ble Pankaj Purohit, J.** Mr. Ankur Sharma, learned counsel for the petitioners. 2. Mr. B.C. Joshi, learned A.G.A. for the State. 3. By the instant petition, the petitioners Ujjwal Kashyap S/o Rajbeer Singh and Jyoti Rani D/o Satyaveer are praying for protection since there is a threat perception from the respondent no. 4 to 7 who are the parents and brothers of the petitioner no.2. 4. Both the petitioners are present in Court and are identified by their counsel Mr. Ankur Sharma. 5. It is contended that both the petitioners are major and they were in love with each other and now married on 10.06.2026 at Shiv Shakti Mandir, Laksar, Haridwar and their marriage is also registered under the provision of

			UCC on 14.10.2026. The marriage registration certificate is also enclosed as Annexure-2. In support of age the Aadhar Cards have been enclosed. As per the Aadhar Card the date of birth of the petitioner no.1 is 28.05.2002. According to the Aadhaar Card of the petitioner no. 2, the date of the birth of the petitioner no.2 is 06.08.2004. 6. Mr. B.C. Joshi, the learned A.G.A. has not disputed on their age as well as their marriage since the marriage certificate is also enclosed. 7. Both petitioners are present before this Court. Upon interaction, petitioner no.2 Jyoti Rani submits that she is pursing B.Sc. in Hemgiri Degree College, Landhaura, Haridwar; she married to the petitioner no.1 out of her own will and volition and without any pressure. Petitioner no.1 submits that he is also pursuing B.Sc. but in the evening after the class he works with a Chemist wholesale shop and from which he gets Rs. 7000-8000 per month. They further stated that they are facing threats at the hands of the private respondents. 8. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have filed a

representation to SSP, Haridwar, which is annexed as annexure-3 to the writ petition and if a direction is issued to respondent no.2/SSP, Haridwar to decide the representation of the petitioners and to provide security and protection to them, the ends of justice would be met.

9. From perusal of record, it transpires that both petitioners are major, and they deserve some protection.

10. In such view of the matter, writ petition is disposed of finally. The Senior Superintendent of Police, District Haridwar, is directed to consider the representation of the petitioners dated 26.10.2026, annexed as annexure-3 to the writ petition, in accordance with law, and assess the threat perception to the petitioners at the hands of private respondents. If required, protection for the life and liberty of the petitioners shall be provided for such period as the concerned S.S.P. deems appropriate.

(Pankaj Purohit, J.)

27.10.2026

Nahid