. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			BA1/1807/2026 Parnav Alias Raghav Batra --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Lalit Sharma, Mr. Ankur Sharma and Mr. Sahebuddin Ali, learned counsel for the applicant. 2. Mr. Dinesh Chauhan, learned A.G.A. for the State. 3. Applicant- Parnav @ Raghav Batra, who is in judicial custody in connection with Case Crime/F.I.R. No. 405 of 2026 registered at Police Station-Rishikesh, District Dehradun for the offences punishable under Sections 2(b)(i), 2(b)(iii)/3 of the U.P. Gangsters and Anti-Social Activities (Prevention) Act, 1986. 4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record. 5. Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case. He would further submit that, as is evident from the gang-chart, the provisions of the Gangsters Act have been invoked against the applicant

			solely on the basis of three criminal cases registered against him. He would also submit that out of three cases in two cases the charge-sheets have not yet been filed before the competent court in the aforesaid cases and in one case, the applicant has been granted bail. 6. He would further submit that the applicant has been languishing in jail since 22.08.2026; that, the co-accused has been granted bail by this Court vide judgment and order dated 16.10.2026; and that he is a permanent resident of District Dehradun, Uttarakhand, and, therefore, there is no likelihood of his absconding. He would further submit that the trial is not likely to conclude in the near future. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, learned counsel submits that the applicant deserves to be enlarged on bail. He 7. Per contra, learned State counsel would vehemently oppose the prayer for bail. However, he does not dispute the fact that the applicant has been in judicial custody since 22.08.2026. 8. Having considered the rival submissions and perused the record, this Court finds that the prosecution under the Gangsters Act is based on three criminal cases, wherein charge-sheets have, as submitted, not yet been filed. The applicant has been in judicial custody since 22.08.2026, is a permanent resident of District Dehradun, and the trial is not likely to

			conclude soon. Considering the period of incarceration and the overall facts and circumstances, this Court is of the view that the applicant is entitled to bail. 9. Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the bail application is allowed. 10. The applicant shall released on bail, subject to his furnishing a personal bond and two reliable sureties, each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the court concerned. **(Alok Mahra J.)** 28.10.2026 Mamta