| SL. No. | Date | Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures | COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | **BA1/1793/2026**<br><br>Balbeer Singh<br>--Applicant<br>**Versus**<br>State Of Uttarakhand<br>--Respondent<br><br>**Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.**<br><br>Mr. Saurabh Kumar Pandey, Advocate for the applicant.<br>Mrs. Pushpa Bhatt, Additional Advocate General, Mr. S.C. Dumka, A.G.A. and Mr. Nikhil Bisht, Brief Holder for the State of Uttarakhand.<br><br>2. This first bail application has been moved by the applicant seeking regular bail in F.I.R./Case Crime No.453 of 2023 (Case Crime Year 2022 wrongly mentioned in rejection order dated 15.10.2026), under Section 120-B, 420, 465, 467, 471, 506 I.P.C., registered at Police Station Patel Nagar, District Dehradun.<br><br>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case and has been languishing in judicial custody since 01.10.2026. It is contended that the applicant has been implicated solely on the ground that he happens to be a Director of the Company in whose favour the alleged gift deed was executed. He further submits that the entire affairs and day-to-day functioning of the Company are being managed by the applicant's son and the applicant has no active role in the alleged transaction. It is also contended that no specific overt act or role has been attributed to the applicant in the F.I.R. Learned counsel further submits that |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | the applicant is an aged person of about 80 years and that some of the co-accused persons have already been granted interim protection. On these grounds, learned counsel prays that the applicant may be enlarged on bail.<br><br>4. Learned State Counsel was directed to seek instructions in the matter. Today, on the basis of instructions received, learned State Counsel fairly submits that no specific role has been attributed to the applicant in the alleged incident.<br><br>5. Having considered the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the material available on record, this Court finds that the applicant is an aged person of about 80 years and has been in judicial custody since 01.10.2026. Prima facie, no specific role or overt act has been attributed to the applicant in the F.I.R. so as to indicate his direct involvement in the alleged commission of the offences. The applicant appears to have been implicated primarily on account of his position as a Director of the Company in whose favour the alleged gift deed was executed. Moreover, some of the co-accused persons have already been granted interim protection.<br><br>6. Accordingly, without expressing any opinion as to the final merits of the case, this Court is of the view that applicant deserves bail at this stage.<br><br>7. Accordingly, the bail application is allowed.<br><br>8. Let the applicant be released on bail, on executing personal bond and furnishing two reliable sureties, each of like amount, to the satisfaction of Court concerned.<br><br>**(Alok Mahra, J.)**<br>02.11.2026<br>*Arpan* |

ARPAN JAISWAL

Digitally signed by ARPAN JAISWAL
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, ou=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, 2.5.4.20=eb0d68a5895e41937c266c23964c048536144fa2a266d6b7393398f94e43ba3a, postalCode=263001, st=UTTARAKHAND, serialNumber=905FC1702286EAE3DE213CD68D0D454C108CB8744653514D9D4AADAA2C2CE466, cn=ARPAN JAISWAL
Date: 2026.11.02 19:09:22 +05'30'