SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			BA1/1803/2026 Rahul --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Shankar Aggarwal and Mr. Ashish Aggarwal, learned counsel for the applicant. Mr. S.C. Dumka, learned A.G.A. assisted by Mr. Nikhil Bisht, learned Brief Holder for the State of Uttarakhand. 2. The present bail application has been filed by the applicant, who is in judicial custody in connection with FIR No. 128 of 2026, registered on 08.04.2026 at Police Station Kotwali, District Dehradun, under Sections 105, 333, 351(2) and 352 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (BNS). The matter is registered as Sessions Trial No. 91 of 2026, State v. Rahul, and is pending before the Court of the 5th Additional Sessions Judge, Dehradun. 3. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and learned State Counsel and perused the record. 4. As per the prosecution case, the applicant entered the house of the complainant to assault one Mithun, who was a tenant of the complainant. The complainant's husband attempted to pacify the parties. However, as he was a heart patient, he allegedly could not withstand the altercation and fainted at the spot. He was thereafter taken to the hospital, where he died. 5. Learned counsel for the applicant

			submits that it is an admitted position that the deceased was suffering from a serious heart ailment, with three of his coronary arteries being blocked, and that the doctor had informed the complainant and her family members about his medical condition much prior to the incident. It is further submitted that no weapon was recovered from the spot and that the complainant was not present at the place of occurrence and, therefore, was not an eyewitness to the entire incident. 6. Per contra, learned State Counsel has opposed the bail application. 7. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the material available on record, particularly the statement of the complainant, wherein she has admitted that she was not present at the spot, this Court is of the view that the applicant is entitled to be enlarged on bail. 8. Accordingly, the bail application is allowed. 9. Let the applicant be released on bail upon furnishing a personal bond and two reliable sureties, each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the court concerned. 10. It is clarified that the observations made hereinabove are confined solely to the adjudication of the present bail application and shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case. 11. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed of accordingly. **(Alok Mahra, J.)** 29-10-2026 SB